Generalised member pointers

Document $\#$:	P0149R1
Date:	2025-01-13
Project:	Programming Language C++
Audience:	Evolution
Reply-to:	Jeff Snyder <jeff-isocpp@caffeinated.me.uk></jeff-isocpp@caffeinated.me.uk>

1 Introduction

C++ member pointers are currently limited to expressing the relationship between a class type and one of its direct members. They are typically represented by storing the offset of the member from the start of the class, since there is always a fixed offset between the pointer to a class and a member of that class.

There are other objects that exist at a fixed offset from the start of the class, but whose offsets cannot be represented by member pointers. These include non-virtual bases, members of members, non-virtual bases of members, and so on. There is no clear distinction between the use cases for offsets to members and the use cases for offsets to other sub-objects, yet the language only permits the formation and use of the former, in the form of "member pointers".

Some of these limitations have been raised previously as deficiencies in the language, giving us Core Issue 794 [CWG794] and Evolution Issue 94 [EWG94], and have also been questioned on *std-discussion*, yielding the following explanation:

"The default state for a language feature is "not present"; this is not a natural consequence of the existing rules, and no-one has proposed adding it." — Richard Smith, responding to "Why are member data pointers to inner members prohibited?" [std-discussion-20150605]

In summary, the current constraints on member pointers unnecessarily limit the expressiveness of the language, and the abstractions that can be created with it. This paper proposes extensions to the language to remediate this.

2 Revision History

2.1 R0: Summer 2016

Initial revision

2.2 R1: Early 2025

The class-from-member pointers were removed from the proposal removed based on EWG's feedback. Wording has for the remaining features has been added, along with a section on implementability.

3 Proposal

3.1 Member type upcasts

Currently, the last line of the example below is not valid C++, even though the intent is clear.

It should be possible to implement an upcast of the member type of a member pointer without difficulty—it would result in applying the same fixed offset as a normal upcast, if any. In the case of virtual bases, doing such an upcast requires runtime type information, which makes implementing the corresponding upcast for a member pointer difficult. It may also require a breaking change to some ABIs, so supporting upcasts to virtual bases is not proposed here.

Proposal: Upcasts of the member type of member pointers to non-virtual bases of the member type should be permitted. The corresponding downcasts should also be permitted via **static_cast**.

```
struct A {};
struct B : A{};
struct C { B b; };
C c;
B* to_b = &c.b; // OK, Normal pointer
B C::* c_to_b = &C::b; // OK, C++98 member pointer
A* to_a = to_b; // OK, C++98 implicit upcast
A C::* c_to_a = c_to_b; // Valid under P0419
```

3.2 Forming pointers to members of members

To allow member pointers to reference members of members as well as direct members, we need a syntax to form such member pointers. The most natural syntax for this is to take the set of operators that can be applied to an object to get another object which exists at a fixed offset from the first, and allow those operators to be applied to member pointers as well as concrete objects. The three such operators currently in the language are dot (.), subscript ([]) and member pointer application (.*).

• **Proposal:** The operator '.', when applied to an expression of type "Pointer to member of T1 of class type T2" and an identifier naming a member of T2 of type T3, should result in a value of type "Pointer to member of T1 of type T3". The

expression E1.*(E2.*identifier*) should be equivalent to (E1.*E2).*identifier*, where E1 and E2 have types T1 and T2 respectively, and the whole expression has type T3.

- **Proposal:** The operator '[]', when applied to an expression of type "Pointer to member of T1 of type array of T2", should result in a value of type "Pointer to member of T1 of type T2". The expression E1.*(E2[E3]) should be equivalent to (E1.*E2)[E3], where E1 and E2 have types T1 and T2 respectively, and E3 is a valid index for T2.
- **Proposal:** The operator '.*', when applied to an expression of type "Pointer to member of T1 of class type T2" and an expression of type "Pointer to member of T2 of type T3", should result in a value of type "Pointer to member of T1 of type T3". The expression E1.*(E2.*E3) should be equivalent to (E1.*E2).*E3, where E1 and E2 have types T1 and T2 respectively, and the whole expression has type T3.

```
struct A { int i; };
struct B {
    constexpr B(){};
    A a{};
    int is[42]{};
};
constexpr A B::* ap = &B::a;
constexpr int (B::*isp)[42] = &B::is;
constexpr int A::*ip = & A::i;
constexpr B b;
constexpr auto& i_1 = (b.*ap).i;
                                      // OK, C++98
                                      // Valid under P0149
constexpr auto& i_2 = b.*(ap.i);
constexpr auto& is7_1 = (b.*isp)[7]; // OK, C++98
constexpr auto& is7_2 = b.*(isp[7]); // Valid under P0149
                                     // OK, C++98
constexpr auto& i_3 = (b.*ap).*ip;
                                     // Valid under P0149
constexpr auto& i_4 = b.*(ap.*ip);
                                     // Valid under P0149
static_assert(&i_1 == &i_2);
                                     // OK, C++17
static assert(&i 1 == &i 3);
                                      // Valid under P0149
static_assert(&i_1 == &i_4);
static_assert(&is7_1 == &is7_2);
                                      // Valid under P0149
```

3.3 Forming pointers to bases

With the extensions to member pointers introduced so far, we can form member pointers to direct members, members of members, and bases of members. However, we cannot form a member pointer to a base class. This may be useful in situations where a class inherits multiple copies of a base class via non-virtual inheritance. For example:

struct A {}; template <int N> struct B : A {}; struct C : B<0>, B<1> {};

It may be useful to create a member pointer of type A C::*, which may point to either of the instances of A that C contains.

We could extend the grammar to allow specifying a base rather than a member in order to form such "member" pointers. However, there is a simpler way of achieving this, at least from the perspecitve of the grammar: we can add a way of forming "identity" member pointers, which would have a type of T T::*. Member pointers to bases could then be formed via upcasts of the member type, just like member pointers to bases of members are formed using earlier parts of this proposal.

```
// P0149 formation of 'identity' member pointer
А
     A::* a_to_a
                     = &A::this;
A B<1>::* b1_to_a
                                      // C++98 class type downcast
                     = a_{to}_{a};
                                      // C++98 class type downcast
А
     C::* c_to_b1_a = b1_to_a;
                                     // P0149 formation of 'identity' member pointer
                     = &C::this;
С
     C::* c_to_c
                                      // P0149 member type upcast
B<1> C::* c_to_b1
                     = c_to_c;
     C::* c_to_b1_a = c_to_b1;
А
                                     // P0149 member type upcast
А
     C::* c_to_b1_a = &B<1>::this; // Combo!
```

Proposal: Expressions of the form &T::**this** have type "Pointer to member of T of type T". The expression E1.*&T::**this** should be valid if E1 has type T, and should be equivalent to the expression E1.

4 Implementation

Implementation of this proposal on most platforms (including all Itanium ABI platforms) is believed to be straightforward. Member pointers are generally represented as offsets, and the features in this paper adjust and combine those offsets in the same way that corresponding parts of the language dealing with concrete addresses adjust those addresses.

For example, given an array A with element type T and an index I, calculating the address of A[I] involves adding sizeof(T)*I to &A; whereas given a similar pointer to member PTM of type "pointer to member of class C of type array of T", the equivalent operation is to add sizeof(T)*I to the offset that is PTM's representation.

Finding the address of a member in a virtual base class requires far more than just an offset, and for this reason the standard disallows formation of pointers to members in virtual base classes. However, MSVC goes above and beyond in this regard to support pointers to members of virtual bases, and as a result has a more complex pointer-to-member representation.

In its most general form, the representation of a pointer to a data member is:

- 1. An offset from the object address to the relevant vtable pointer
- 2. A virtual base table index
- 3. An offset from the start of the virtual base to the member

The representation of a pointer to a member function is the same as the above, with the addition of a function address or virtual function index.

This extension is fundamentally incompatible with using the .* operator to form transitive pointers to members. If only the first operand involves a virtual base table index, we can take the first operand and add the second operand's offset to (3). Similarly, if only the second operand involves a virtual base table index, we can add take the second operand and add the first operand's offset to (1). However, if both operands involve a virtual base index, we're out of luck—the resulting pointer-to-member representation would have to store three offsets and two virtual base table indices. To handle this, the implementation would likely need a dynamically sized representation allocated in the free store, possibly forcing an ABI break.

Instead, on the basis that use of pointers to members is fairly rare, use of virtual bases is also rare, and combining them is especially rare (not to mention non-standard), the easier path forward here would be to phase out support for this extension in MSVC. Concretely, this would involve:

- Deprecating the formation of pointers to members in virtual bases in MSVC, with a warning
- Disallowing formation of pointers to members in virtual bases when compiling with /std:c++26
- Supporting the cases that are implementable with the current representation
- Trapping in the .* operator if both operands are pointers to members in virtual bases

Disallowing formation of pointers to members in virtual bases when compiling with /std:c++26 makes it hard to hit the trapping case. Doing so would require having new C++26 code that uses the .* operator on two operands supplied from one or more different translation units which were compiled with an older standard.

5 Wording

Change 7.3.13 [conv.mem] paragraph 2 as follows:

A prvalue expression \underline{E} of type "pointer to member of $\underline{B1}$ of type $\underline{ev T D2}$ ", where \underline{B} is a class type, can be converted to a prvalue of type "pointer to member of $\underline{D1}$ of type $\underline{ev TB2}$ ", where \underline{D} is a complete class derived (11.7) from $\underline{B1}$ and $\underline{B2}$ are the same as or complete classes derived (11.7) from $\underline{D1}$ and $\underline{D2}$, respectively, and at least one of $\underline{B1}$ or $\underline{B2}$ is a base class of $\underline{D1}$ or $\underline{D2}$, respectively.

If <u>either B1</u> or B2 is an inaccessible (11.8), ambiguous (6.5.2), or <u>transitively</u> virtual (11.7.2) base class (11.7.2) of D1 or D2 respectively, or a base class

of a virtual base class of **D**, a program that necessitates this conversion is ill-formed.

If class $D\underline{1}$ does not contain the original member and is not a base class of the class containing the original member, the behavior is undefined. Otherwise, the result of the conversion refers to the same member as the pointer to member before the conversion took place, but it refers to the base class member as if it were a member of the derived class. The result refers to the member in \underline{D} 's instance of Binstance of B2 that is the member designated by E or is a base class thereof, as if it were a member of D1.

Since the result has type "pointer to member of D of type ev T", indirection through it with a D object is valid. The result is the same as if indirecting through the pointer to member of B with the B subobject of D.

The null member pointer value is converted to the null member pointer value of the destination type.

Change 7.5.3 [expr.prim.this] paragraph 1 as follows:

The keyword this, <u>appearing as a primary-expression</u>, names a pointer to the object for which an implicit object member function (11.4.3) is invoked or a non-static data member's initializer (11.4) is evaluated. [Note: The keyword this may also appear as part of a pm-identity; see 7.6.2.? [expr.unary.pmidentity]. – end note]

Change 7.6.1.2 [expr.sub] paragraph 2 as follows:

With the built-in subscript operator, an expression-list shall be present, consisting of a single assignment expression. One of the expressions shall be a glvalue of type "array of T" or, a prvalue of type "pointer to T" or a prvalue of type "pointer to member of class C of type array of T", and the other shall be a prvalue of unscoped enumeration or integral type.

The Let E1 and E2 denote these two expressions, respectively. The type "T" shall be a completely-defined object type. If E1 is of pointer to member type, the result is a prvalue of type "pointer to member of class C of type T" and E designates the element of the member array designated by E1 at index E2 as if it were a member of C. Otherwise, the result is of type "T", and. The type "T" shall be a completely-defined object type. The expression E1[E2] is identical (by definition) to *((E1)+(E2)), except that in the case of an array operand, the result is an lvalue if that operand is an lvalue and an xvalue otherwise.

Change 7.6.1.5 [expr.ref] paragraph 2 as follows:

For the first option (dot), if the id-expression names a static member or an enumerator, the first expression is a discarded-value expression (7.2.3); if

the id-expression names a non-static data member, the first expression shall be a glvalue or a prvalue having pointer-to-member type. For the second option (arrow), the first expression shall be a prvalue having pointer type. The expression E1->E2 is converted to the equivalent form (*(E1)).E2; the remainder of 7.6.1.5 will address only the first option (dot).

Change 7.6.1.5 [expr.ref] paragraph 5 as follows:

Otherwise, the object expression shall be of class type X or type "pointer to member of class C of class type X". The class type In either case, X shall be complete unless the class member access appears in the definition of that class.

Change 7.6.1.5 [expr.ref] paragraph 6 as follows:

If <u>E1 does not have pointer-to-member type and</u> E2 is a bit-field, E1.E2 is a bit-field. The type and value category of E1.E2 are determined as follows. In the remainder of 7.6.1.5, cq represents either const or the absence of const and vq represents either volatile or the absence of volatile. cv represents an arbitrary set of cv-qualifiers, as defined in 6.8.5.

Furthermore, Let the notation vq12 stand for the "union" of vq1 and vq2; that is, if vq1 or vq2 is volatile, then vq12 is volatile. Similarly, let the notation cq12 stand for the "union" of cq1 and cq2; that is, if cq1 or cq2 is const, then cq12 is const.

Add the following as new paragraphs following 7.6.1.5 [expr.ref] paragraph 6:

For a type "cq1 vq1 A" and the type "cq2 vq2 B" of E2, the merged type of "cq1 vq1 A" and E2 is

- <u>"vq12 B"</u> if E2 is declared to be a mutable member,
- <u>"cq12 vq12 B"</u> otherwise.

If the type of E1 is "pointer to member of class C of type X",

- If E2 names a static member or a member of an inaccessible (11.8), ambiguous (6.5.2), or transitively virtual base class (11.7.2) of X, or if E2 is declared to have reference type, the program is ill-formed.
- The expression E1.E2 is a prvalue of type "pointer to member of class C of type M", where M is the merged type of X and E2, and designates the member E2 of X as if it were a member of C.
- If E2 names an overloaded function, the expression E1.E2 can be used only in a context that uniquely determines which version of the overloaded function is referred to (see 12.3 [over.over]).

Change 7.6.1.5 [expr.ref] paragraph 7 as follows:

If E1 does not have pointer-to-member type and E2 is declared to have type "reference to T", then E1.E2 is an lvalue of type T. If E2 is a static data member, E1.E2 designates the object or function to which the reference is bound, otherwise E1.E2 designates the object or function to which the corresponding reference member of E1 is bound. Otherwise, one of the following rules applies.

- If E2 is a static data member and the type of E2 is T, then E1.E2 is an lvalue; the expression designates the named member of the class. The type of E1.E2 is T.
- If E2 is a non-static data member and the type of E1 is "eq1 vq1X", and the type of E2 is "eq2 vq2 T"X, the expression designates the corresponding member subobject of the object designated by the first expression. If E1 is an lvalue, then E1.E2 is an lvalue; otherwise E1.E2 is an xvalue. Let the notation vq12 stand for the "union" of vq1 and vq2; that is, if vq1 or vq2 is volatile, then vq12 is volatile. Similarly, let the notation eq12 stand for the "union" of eq1 and eq2; that is, if eq1 or eq2 is const, then eq12 is const. If E2 is declared to be a mutable member, then the The type of E1.E2 is the merged type of X and E2"vq12 T". If E2 is not declared to be a mutable member, then the type of E1.E2 is "eq12 vq12 T".

Change 7.6.1.9 [expr.static.cast] paragraph 2 as follows:

An lvalue of type "cv1 B", where B is a class type, can be cast to type "reference to cv2 D", where D is a complete class derived (11.7) from B, if cv2is the same cv-qualification as, or greater cv-qualification than, cv1. If B is a virtual base class of D or a base class of a transitively virtual base class of D (11.7.2), or if no valid standard conversion from "pointer to D" to "pointer to B" exists (7.3.12), the program is ill-formed. An xvalue of type "cv1 B" can be cast to type "rvalue reference to cv2 D" with the same constraints as for an lvalue of type "cv1 B". If the object of type "cv1 B" is actually a base class subobject of an object of type D, the result refers to the enclosing object of type D. Otherwise, the behavior is undefined. [Example: ...]

Change 7.6.1.9 [expr.static.cast] paragraph 12 as follows:

A prvalue of type "pointer to member of D1 of type cv1 TB2" can be converted to a prvalue of type "pointer to member of B1 of type cv2 TD2", where D1 and D2 is are complete class types and B1 and B2 is are base classes (11.7) of D1 and D2 respectively, if cv2 is the same cv-qualification as, or greater cv-qualification than, cv1. If no valid standard conversion from "pointer to member of B1 of type TD2" to "pointer to member of D1 of type TB2" exists (7.3.13), the program is ill-formed. The null member pointer value (7.3.13) is converted to the null member pointer value of the destination type. If class B contains the original <u>membersubobject</u>, or is a base class of the class containing the original <u>membersubobject</u>, the resulting pointer to member points to the original <u>membersubobject</u>. Otherwise, the behavior is undefined.

Change 5.3 [expr.unary] paragraph 1 as follows:

Expressions with unary operators group right-to-left.

unary-expression: postfix-expression unary-operator cast-expression ++ cast-expression -- cast-expression & pm-identity await-expression sizeof unary-expression sizeof (type-id) sizeof ... (identifier) alignof (type-id) noexcept-expression new-expression delete-expression *unary-operator:* one of * & + - !

Change 7.6.2.2 [expr.unary.op] paragraph 1 as follows:

The unary * operator performs *indirection*: Its operand shall be <u>either</u> a prvalue of type "pointer to T", where T is an object or function type, or a prvalue of type "pointer to member of class C of type array of T". If its operand has pointer-to-member type, then the expression designates the first element of the array as if it were a member of C, and operator yields a prvalue of type "pointer to member of class C of type T". Otherwise, the The operator yields an lvalue of type T. If, and if the operand points to an object or function, the result denotes that object or function; otherwise, the behavior is undefined except as specified in 7.6.1.8.

Add the following as a new section named [expr.unary.pmidentity] as a subsection of 7.6.2[expr.unary]:

A expression of the form & pm-identity is used to form an identity pointer-to-member, which can have its member type upcast (7.3.13 [conv.mem]) to form a pointer-to-member that identifies a base subobject.

pm-identity:

nested-name-specifier this

The nested-name-specifier in a pm-identity shall name a class. The expression & pm-identity is a prvalue of type "pointer to member of class T of type T",

where T is the class named by the *nested-name-specifier*. The expression designates an object of type T as if it were a member of itself.

Drafting note: the *nested-name-specifier* is assumed to name a class name in a dependent context. Use of typename is intentionally not allowed.

Change 7.6.4 [expr.mptr.oper] paragraph 2 as follows:

The binary operator .* binds its second operand, which shall be a prvalue of type "pointer to member of T of type M" to its first operand, which shall be a glvalue of class Ttype U or of type "pointer to member of class C of type U", where U is either T or of a class of which T is an unambiguous and accessible base class. The If the first operand is of class type, the result is an object or a function of the type specified by the second operand. Otherwise, if the first operand is of pointer to member type, T shall not be a transitively virtual base class (11.7.2) of U, and the result is a prvalue of type "pointer to member of C of type M".

Change 7.6.4 [expr.mptr.oper] paragraph 3 as follows:

The binary operator ->* binds its second operand, which shall be a prvalue of type "pointer to member of T" to its first operand, which shall be of type "pointer to U" or "pointer to pointer to member of class C of type U" where U is either T or a class of which T is an unambiguous and accessible base class. The expression E1->*E2 is converted into the equivalent form (*(E1)).*E2. The remainder of 7.6.4 will address only expressions of the form E1.*E2.

Change 7.6.4 [expr.mptr.oper] paragraph 4 as follows:

Abbreviating pm-expression .*cast-expression as E1.*E2, E1 is called the object expression. If E1 is of class type and the result of E1 is an object whose type is not similar to the type of E1, or whose most derived object does not contain the member to which E2 refers, the behavior is undefined. The expression E1 is sequenced before the expression E2.

Change 7.6.4 [expr.mptr.oper] paragraph 6 as follows:

If the result of $.* \text{ or } \rightarrow *$ is a function, then that result can be used only as the operand for the function call operator (). [Example: ...] In a .* expression whose object expression is an rvalue, the program is ill-formed if the second operand is a pointer to member function whose *ref-qualifier* is &, unless its cv-qualifier-seq is const. In a .* expression whose object expression is an lvalue, the program is ill-formed if the second operand is a pointer to member function whose *ref-qualifier* is &&. If E1 is of pointer to member type or E2 is a pointer to a member function, the result of the expression is a prvalue. The result of a .* expression whose second operandOtherwise, if E1 is of class type and E2 is a pointer to a data member, the result of the expression is an lvalue if the first-operandE1 is an lvalue and an xvalue otherwise. The result of a .* expression whose second operand is a pointer to a member function is a prvalue. If the second operand is the E1 or E2 is a null member pointer value (7.3.13), the behavior is undefined.

Add the following as new paragraphs following 7.6.4 [expr.mptr.oper] paragraph 6:

If the type of E1 is "pointer to member of class C of type U", the object expression refers to the member of T designated by E2 within the subobject of C designated by E1 as if it were a member of C. Otherwise, the object expression refers to the member of T designated by E2 within the object refered to by E1.

Change 11.7.2 [class.mi] paragraph 4 as follows, and add a paragraph break before the example:

A base class specifier that does not contain the keyword virtual specifies a *non-virtual base class*. A base class specifier that contains the keyword virtual specifies a *virtual base class*. For each distinct occurrence of a non-virtual base class in the class lattice of the most derived class, the most derived object (6.7.2) shall contain a corresponding distinct base class subobject of that type. For each distinct base class that is specified virtual, the most derived object shall contain a single base class subobject of that type. <u>A</u> transitively virtual base class of a class C is a virtual base class of C or a base class of a virtual base class of C.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Richard Smith for his feedback on this proposal and the ideas behind it, and to Jens Maurer for his help and guidance with its wording.

References

- [CWG794] Detlef Vollman. C++ Standard Core Language Issue 794, Base-derived conversion in member type of pointer-to-member conversion, March 2009. http://wg21.link/cwg794.
- [EWG94] Detlef Vollman. C++ Standard Evolution Issue 94, Base-derived conversion in member type of pointer-to-member conversion, March 2009. http://wg21.link/ewg94.
- [std-discussion-20150605] Richard Smith and "Myriachan". [std-discussion] Re: Why are member data pointers to inner members prohibited?, June 2015. https://groups.google.com/a/isocpp.org/d/msg/std-discussion/ 8tehjvbLEWQ/10PQyuYw2k8J.