Forward compatibility of text_encoding with additional encoding registries

Document No. **P2498 R0** Date 2021-12-01 Reply To Peter Brett **pbrett@cadence.com** Audience: SG16, LEWG

Introduction

As currently proposed [1], text_encoding refers only to the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Character Sets database [2]. This registry is known to be incomplete and, in some respects, does not provide a perfect match to the requirements of C++ [2]. It is possible that future enhancements to text_encoding may wish to refer to additional/alternative registries.

Alter the names of text_encoding facilities that directly map IANA database data to explicitly reference iana.

Design

Do not rename aliases()

The text_encoding::aliases() member function currently returns a range of alternative names for a particular text_encoding. Although this range is required to include the aliases registered with IANA, it may also include additional, implementation-defined aliases.

This means that there is no need to rename this to <code>iana_aliases()</code>; the contract is already sufficiently wide to accommodate aliases from other registries.

Normative guidance for future compatibility

Currently, the exposition-only member variables of text_encoding contain only a text_encoding::id without scope for disambiguation of IDs or the capacity for representing non-IANA IDs, if required in the future.

This is adequate for now. However, we should provide normative guidance that implementors should consider the possibility of additional/alternative text encoding registries being used in the future and make accommodations in the layout of text_encoding. This will prevent any such evolution from being blocked due to ABI concerns.

Proposed wording

Editing notes

All wording is relative to P1885R8 [1].

[text.encoding]

Throughout this section:

- 1. Rename text_encoding::id to text_encoding::iana_id, and update all references to this type accordingly.
- 2. Rename text_encoding::mib() to text_encoding::iana_mib(), and update all references to this member function accordingly.

Add an additional item of 'recommended practice':

When defining the member variables and layout of text_encoding, implementations should consider the possibility that future revisions of this standard may reference additional or alternative text encoding registries.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Tom Honermann for suggesting making the IANA link explicit in identifiers, and to Jens Maurer for highlighting the downsides of the way that IANA registry text encodings are specified.

References

- [1] C. Jabot and P. Brett, "P1885R8 Naming Text Encodings to Demystify Them," 11 Nov 2021. [Online]. Available: http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2021/p1885r8.pdf.
- [2] N. Freed and M. Dürst, "Character Sets," Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, 2021.
- [3] J. Maurer, "P2491R0 Text encodings follow-up," 15 Nov 2021. [Online]. Available: http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2021/p2491r0.html.