Document Number: P2465R0

Date: 2021-10-12

Reply To: Stephan T. Lavavej <stl@microsoft.com>

Gabriel Dos Reis <gdr@microsoft.com>

Bjarne Stroustrup <bs@ms.com>
Jonathan Wakely <cxx@kayari.org>

Audience: Library Evolution (LEWG)

Library (LWG)
Core (CWG)

### Standard Library Modules std and std.all

Stephan T. Lavavej, Gabriel Dos Reis, Bjarne Stroustrup, Jonathan Wakely

#### **Abstract**

This paper provides Standardese for two named modules: std and std.all.

import std; imports everything in namespace std from C++ headers (e.g.
std::sort from <algorithm>) and C wrapper headers (e.g. std::fopen from
<cstdio>). It also imports ::operator new etc. from <new>.

import std.all; imports all of the above, plus the global namespace counterparts for the C wrapper headers (e.g. ::fopen).

## Background

See <u>P2412R0</u> "Minimal module support for the standard library" which explains why import std; is ideal for usability and has amazing compiler throughput compared to classic #include directives.

#### Rationale

The biggest concern from the LEWG review of <u>P2412R0</u> involved the global namespace and can be viewed as Adoption versus Cleanliness.

The Adoption concern is that if Standard Library Modules don't provide ::fopen, ::size\_t, ::tm, etc. then it will be difficult to migrate existing codebases - especially because on some platforms, the True Names are always global, so even if users are disciplined about including <cmeow> instead of <meow.h>, it is extremely easy to depend on the global namespace.

The Cleanliness concern is that the global/std namespace issue (where <meow.h> definitely provides ::meow and might provide std::meow, and <cmeow> definitely provides std::meow and might provide ::meow) is a complexity headache that's annoying to teach and to deal with, and Standard Library Modules are probably the only chance we'll ever have to permanently resolve it.

We believe that providing two named modules will follow LEWG guidance and address both concerns by giving users a choice.

- Users who want Cleanliness can say import std; and avoid polluting the global namespace. There's no extra typing, and no throughput impact.
- Users who want to prioritize Adoption in an existing codebase can say import std.all; and avoid having to qualify all mentions of fopen, size\_t, strlen, etc.
  - This is a bit more typing, but it's still just 1 line.
  - There's strictly more throughput impact, but it should be minimal (as we've found, importing a named module is extremely fast,

and the <cmeow> headers define much less machinery, both in quantity and complexity, than the C++23 Standard Library).

In general, modules are orthogonal to namespaces, but this reflects the existing division between <cmeow> and <meow.h>. It should be natural for users to learn.

The Sufficient Additional Overloads ([cmath.syn]/2) are always a headache to analyze, but we believe that this avoids disrupting them in any way. Someone who says import std; gets all of the Overloads in namespace std (and no danger of referring to the global name, an improvement). Someone who says import std.all; gets the same behavior as someone who includes <cmath>, <cstdlib>, <math.h>, and <stdlib.h> (or just <cmath> and <cstdlib> on a platform like MSVC).

Note that [module.unit]/1 has reserved std and std.\* as module names.

#### Alternative Names for std.all

It may be confusing for the module std to be a subset of std.all. Here's a non-exhaustive list of other possibilities for LEWG to consider:

```
import all;
import both;
import everything;
import std_and_global;
import std_global;
import std_and_cstd;
import std_cstd;
import std_and_c;
import std_and_c;
```

```
import std.h; // Potentially confusing as it resembles a filename
import std_all;
import std_compat;
import stdcompat;
import std.compat;
```

Names of the form std\_meow may become confusing in the future when finegrained modules of the form std.cats are added. (std\_and\_meow is probably verbose enough to avoid confusion with dot-separated names.)

### Alternative Design: Separate Module for the Global Namespace

Another alternative would involve changing the design, where import std; would behave as specified here, and import cstd; (or some other name) would provide just the global namespace names. (With <new> remaining in import std;.) This would require users who want both to say both import declarations.

A non-exhaustive list of possible names for LEWG to consider:

```
import c;
import cstd;
import global;
```

## Alternative Design: Reverse the Modules

Yet another alternative would be to have import std; provide namespace std and the global namespace, while import std.strict; (or some other name) provides only namespace std. This is noted for completeness,

although it doesn't appear to align with LEWG guidance from the previous review.

### Frequently Asked Questions

# Are any macros provided by the Standard Library modules? No, and this is intentional.

For feature-test macros, users must #include <version> or import <version>; (as header units can emit macros).

For assert, errno, offsetof, va\_arg, etc., users must #include <meow.h> or #include <cmeow>. (<assert.h>/<cassert> are extra-special as they can be repeatedly included with varying behavior controlled by NDEBUG.)

# Are deprecated features provided by the Standard Library modules? Yes. This is implied by the normative wording.

# Are C++ features in C wrapper headers, like std::byte in <cstddef>, provided by the Standard Library modules?

Yes. import std; provides everything in namespace std, including the contents of the C wrapper headers, including C++-specific additions.

# Are std::initializer\_list and other machinery with Core Language interactions provided by the Standard Library modules?

Yes. This is implied by the normative wording. However, we do need to update the Core Language wording that requires <compare>, <initializer\_list>, and <typeinfo> to be included (classically) or imported (as header units), now that named modules are available.

### Is there any implementation experience?

Not yet.

The experimental named modules that MSVC has been shipping for a while are a repackaging of the existing library (with no distinction between std::sort and std::\_Internal\_helper\_functions) and divide it into subsets (instead of the "only std" and "std plus global" design here).

### Should new proposals target only the Standard Library modules?

No. New proposals should expect that many users will continue to use classic includes for many years for various reasons, such as build system issues. (We expect that there will be a few users of C++20 Standard Library header units, although that early adopter population is likely to migrate to C++23 Standard Library modules as soon as possible.)

Therefore, new proposals should continue to carefully consider what headers to modify, and/or what headers to add. The main consideration for new proposals is that if they want to emit macros (other than feature-test macros) for any reason, that would be unavailable to users of Standard Library modules, so non-macro mechanisms should be found if possible.

## **Proposed Wording**

All changes are relative to N4892.

- Add a new feature-test macro \_\_cpp\_lib\_modules to [version.syn], with a value chosen by the editors as usual.
  - Unlike all of the other library feature-test macros, this should not have a comment "// also in <HEADER>".

 Add a new section that's a child of 16.4.2 "Library contents and organization" [organization], immediately after 16.4.2.3 "Headers" [headers]:

Modules [std.modules]

The C++ standard library provides the following C++ library modules.

The named module std exports declarations in namespace std that are provided by the importable C++ library headers ([tab:headers.cpp] or the subset provided by a freestanding implementation) and the C++ headers for C library facilities ([tab:headers.cpp.c]). It additionally exports declarations in the global namespace for the storage allocation and deallocation functions that are provided by <new> ([new.delete]).

The named module std.all exports the same declarations as the named module std, and additionally exports declarations in the global namespace corresponding to the declarations in namespace std that are provided by the C++ headers for C library facilities ([tab:headers.cpp.c]).

It is unspecified to which module a declaration in the standard library is attached. [Note: Implementations are required to ensure that mixing #include and import does not result in conflicting attachments ([basic.link]). - end note]

Recommended practice: Implementations should ensure such attachments do not preclude further evolution or decomposition of the standard library modules.

A declaration in the standard library denotes the same entity regardless of whether it was made reachable through including a header, importing a header unit, or importing a C++ library module.

Recommended practice: Implementations should avoid exporting any other declarations from the C++ library modules.

[Note: Like all named modules, the C++ library modules do not make macros visible ([module.import]), such as assert ([cassert.syn]), errno ([cerrno.syn]), offsetof ([cstddef.syn]), and va\_arg ([cstdarg.syn]). - end note]

• Modify 7.6.1.8 "Type identification" [expr.typeid]/6 as depicted:

"<del>If the header <typeinfo> ([type.info]) is not imported or included prior to a use of typeid</del><ins>The type std::type\_info ([type.info]) is not predefined; if a standard library declaration ([typeinfo.syn], [std.modules]) of std::type\_info is not reachable from a typeid expression</ins>, the program is ill-formed."

 Modify 7.6.8 "Three-way comparison operator" [expr.spaceship]/8 as depicted:

"The three comparison category types ([cmp.categories]) (the types std::strong\_ordering, std::weak\_ordering, and std::partial\_ordering) are not predefined; <del>if the header <compare> ([compare.syn]) is not imported or included prior to </del> <ins>if a standard library declaration ([compare.syn], [std.modules]) of such a class type is not reachable from </ins> a use of <del>such a class </del> <ins> that </ins> type - even an implicit use in which the type is not named (e.g., via the auto

specifier (9.2.9.6) in a defaulted three-way comparison (11.10.3) or use of the built-in operator) – the program is ill-formed."

• Modify 9.4.5 "List-initialization" [dcl.init.list]/2 as depicted:

"The template std::initializer\_list is not predefined; <del>if the header <initializer\_list> is not imported or included prior to </del> <ins>if a standard library declaration ([initializer.list.syn], [std.modules]) of std::initializer\_list is not reachable from </ins> a use of std::initializer\_list — even an implicit use in which the type is not named (9.2.9.6) — the program is ill-formed."

## **Open Wording Questions**

• Are the module attachment paragraphs good?

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to Cameron DaCamara and Jens Maurer for reviewing this wording and providing suggestions.