

David Keaton (dmk@dmk.com)

25 August, 1995

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This document specifies the form and interpretation of a pure extension to the language portion of the C standard to provide important additional flexibility to initializers.

1.2 Scope

This document, although extending the C standard, still falls within the scope of that standard, and thus follows all rules and guidelines of that standard except where explicitly noted herein.

1.3 References

1. ISO/IEC 9899:1990, *Programming Languages — C*.
2. WG14/N472 X3J11/95-073, Prosser & Keaton. C9X Proposal, *Designated Initializers*, 25 August, 1995.
3. WG14/N473 X3J11/95-074, Keaton. C9X Proposal, *Rationale for Designated Initializers*, 25 August, 1995.

All references to the ISO C standard will be presented as subclause numbers. For example, §6.4 references constant expressions.

1.4 Rationale

Initializer repetition counts provide a mechanism for initializing multiple elements of an array with the same value, a practice common in numerical programming. They add useful functionality that already exists in Fortran so that programmers migrating to C need not suffer the loss of a program-text-saving notational feature.

Initializer repetition counts integrate easily into the C grammar and do not impose any additional run-time overhead on a user's program. They also combine well with designated initializers (see [2] and [3]).

Note that this proposal only applies the feature to arrays. In theory it could be extended to any aggregate or union type. The author's initial gut reaction is that this would cause more programming errors than it solves, but the issue is open for debate and the proposal could easily be changed to accommodate all aggregate and union types.

2. Language

2.1 Designated Initializers

The syntax for initializer repetition counts was deliberately chosen so that it would not depend on the existence of designated initializers [2]. However, they do combine to form an even more convenient notation. This is discussed further below.

If designated initializers are accepted into the C language, more possibilities for the syntax of initializer repetition counts could be considered.

Negative repetition counts are not proposed here because any functionality they would add could just as easily be obtained by combining designated initializers and initializer repetition counts. Requiring nonnegative repetition counts also simplifies their specification.

2.2 Initializer Repetition Counts

The syntax for initializers in §6.5.7 is changed to the following, and the constraints and semantics are augmented by the following:

Syntax

```

5      initializer:
          assignment-expression
          { initializer-list }
          { initializer-list , }

      initializer-list:
10     repetition-countopt initializer
        initializer-list , repetition-countopt initializer

      repetition-count:
          * [ repetition-count-expression strideopt ] =

      repetition-count-expression:
15     constant-expression

      stride:
          : stride-expression

      stride-expression:
          constant-expression

```

20 Constraints

No initializer shall attempt to provide a value for an object not contained within the entity being initialized.¹

An object initialized with a repetition-count shall have array type and the repetition-count-expression shall be an integral constant expression that shall evaluate to a nonnegative value. If the array is of
25 unknown size, any nonnegative repetition count value is valid.

A stride-expression shall be an integral constant expression that shall evaluate to a nonzero positive value.

Semantics

A repetition count with a value r followed by an initializer is equivalent to r successive appearances of
30 the value or values of that initializer. The initializer itself is evaluated exactly once.²

A stride with a value s indicates that the following initializer initializes only the current indexed array element and every s th element thereafter within the range specified by the repetition count; the others are skipped.

If an array of unknown size is initialized, its size is determined by the largest indexed element that is
35 explicitly initialized.³

1. This replaces the current first constraint of “There shall be no more initializers in an initializer list than there are objects to be initialized.” It also mirrors the first constraint required for designated initializers [2].

2. There is room for discussion here. The intent is that compilers that extend initializers to include nonconstant expressions should generate the side effects exactly once.

3. This encompasses both the current “size determined by the number of initializers” rule and the designated initializers [2] rule that “size is determined by the largest indexed element with an explicit initializer.”

Examples

The following sets the entire array `costs` to initially contain large values.

```
double costs[1000] = { *[1000] = HUGE_VAL };
```

- 5 The following is an example of a way that designated initializers [2] might be combined with initializer repetition counts to achieve a one-line initialization of the interior of an array. The designator comes before the `*` and the repetition count comes after it.

```
int interior_mask[100][100] = { [1]*[98] = { [1]*[98] = 1 } };
```

Repetition counts combined with designated initializers could be used to initialize an array with a particular value, and then override certain locations.

```
10 int primes[100] = {  
    *[100] = TRUE,  
    [0]*[2] = FALSE,  
    [0]*[100:2] = FALSE,  
    [0]*[100:3] = FALSE,  
15 [0]*[100:5] = FALSE,  
    [0]*[100:7] = FALSE,  
    [2] = TRUE,  
    [3]*[4:2] = TRUE  
    };  
20
```

615