

vollmann engineering gmbh

>

# Concepts for Concurrent Queues

P0260R21

LEWG Telecon

August, 2026

Detlef Vollmann

vollmann engineering gmbh



# Design Space

- The design space for concurrent queues is pretty big
  - partly in interface design
  - more in semantics
- Single or multiple connections on producer and/or consumer side
- Lock-free vs. locking
  - separate for both ends
- Memory allocation
  - up-front, per push/pop, external
- Ordering guarantee
  - FIFO vs. priorities
- Non-blocking only vs. synchronous interface
- Single push/pop vs. two-phase
- Strongly typed vs. (dynamically sized) byte chunks



# Design Space

- More interface
  - timed waits
  - asynchronous
  - debugging
  - single ended interfaces
- Efficiency vs. robust/portable interface
- Error handling (exceptions)
- Concurrency vs. parallelism vs. asynchronicity



# History

- N3353, mailing 2012-01
  - had already (several) concepts
    - as specification (named requirements)
  - had a separate `buffer_queue` that implemented them
- SG1 discussed heavily what's in the concepts, and what's in the concrete queue (later named `bounded_queue`)
  - sequential consistent memory ordering in `bounded_queue`, but not in concept
  - FIFO ordering in `bounded_queue`, not in concepts
  - memory management specified for `bounded_queue`, not in concepts



# History

- P0260R9, mailing 2024-05
  - discussed by SG1 in St.Louis 2024
  - sent to LEWG for IS: SF F N A SA: 4 5 1 0 0
  - with three named concepts
- P0260R10, mailing 2024-07
  - discussed by LEWG in St.Louis 2024
  - Poll: Remove the user-facing concepts from P0260R10 (the author is free to use exposition-only concepts or named type requirements to achieve the same effect)
  - SF F N A SA: 2 8 5 0 0
  - with named concepts
- P0260R11, mailing 2024-11 had exposition-only concepts



# History

- P0260R19, mailing 2025-07
  - discussed by LWG in Brno
  - Poll: We think the exposition-only concepts should be removed from the paper and `bounded_queue` should be specified directly?
  - F N A: 4 3 1
  - JW: that isn't consensus
  - JW: we should ask them how strongly they feel
- discussed by SG1 in Brno
- Poll: SG1 requires P0260 concurrent queue concepts to be public concepts (as they were in prior revisions of P0260) instead of exposition-only concepts because they are essential to interoperability with external concurrent queues.
- SF F N A SA: 2 5 3 0 0



# P4295: Single Ends for Queues

- Concurrent queues are a communication mechanism
- In most systems this communication is uni-directional
  - one part of the system only pushes
  - another part only pops
- For better code structure it's useful to have interfaces that only provide one end of the queue
  - this was already in N3353
  - removed by SG1 to make it a separate class
  - P0260R12 explicitly proposes single-ended interface as wrapper as separate proposal.



# P4295: Single Ends for Queues

- P4295 uses the queue concepts at several places:

```
template <basic_concurrent_queue QType> class queue_front {  
    // ...
```

```
    std::expected<typename queue_type::value_type, conqueue_status> try_pop()  
    requires concurrent_queue<QType>;
```

```
    execution::sender auto async_pop()  
    requires async_concurrent_queue<QType>;  
}
```



# Concepts Use in User Code

- Logging example from P0260 as template using single-ended wrapper
- Custom concepts for the ends
  - based on the standard concepts



# Concepts Use in User Code

```
template <class QE>
concept MyConcurrentQueueFrontConcept =
    concurrent_queue<typename QE::queue_type> &&
    requires (QE q) {
        { q.try_pop() } -> same_as<expected<typename QE::queue_type::value_type,
            conqueue_status>>;
    };

template <class QE>
concept MyConcurrentQueueBackConcept =
    concurrent_queue<typename QE::queue_type> &&
    requires (QE q, typename QE::queue_type::value_type &&t) {
        { q.try_push(forward<typename QE::queue_type::value_type>(t)) }
        -> same_as<conqueue_status>;
    };

template <MyConcurrentQueueBackConcept QE>
void enqueueLogMessage(QE &qTail, std::string &&msg);

template <MyConcurrentQueueFrontConcept QE>
void outputLogMessage(QE &qHead);
```



# Concepts Use in Tests

- There are lots of queue implementations
- Many tests are the same
- At some places modifications need to be done due to specific concept



# Concepts Use in Tests

```
template <template <typename> class QT, typename T>  
requires stdqueue::basic_concurrent_queue<QT<T>>>  
const lest::test generalTests[] = //...
```

```
if constexpr (concurrent_queue<QT<T>>>) {  
    auto res = q.try_pop();  
    EXPECT(bool(res));  
} else {  
    auto val = q.pop();  
    EXPECT(bool(val));  
}
```

```
if constexpr (async_concurrent_queue<QT<T>>>) {  
    auto aRes = ex::sync_wait(q.async_pop());  
    EXPECT(bool(aRes));  
} else {  
    auto val = q.pop();  
    EXPECT(bool(val));  
}
```



# Concepts Use in Tests

```
typedef QList<  
    bounded_queue,  
    simple_bounded_queue,  
    concurrent_bounded_queue,  
    async_bounded_queue,  
    TestPrioQueue,  
    TestStaticQueue> QTypes;  
  
std::tuple<int, RealMoveInt, MoveOnlyInt> vTypes;  
  
return runAllTests(QTypes(), vTypes, argc, argv);
```



# Concepts Use in Queue Implementation

- `bounded_queue` implements `concurrent_queue` and `async_concurrent_queue`
- This cause some problems
  - `busy_async` in current version
  - Ill-formed with some schedulers after P3669
- Sometimes `concurrent_queue` *and* `async_concurrent_queue` are not needed
- `basic_bounded_queue` can solve this
- At some places the implementation now needs to check for the specific concept



# Concepts Use in Queue Implementation

```
enum class concurrent_queue_type {  
    basic_queue = 0,  
    concurrent_queue = 1,  
    async_queue = 2  
};
```

```
template <typename T,  
          concurrent_queue_type QType,  
          class Allocator = std::allocator<T>>  
class basic_bounded_queue;
```

```
template <typename T, class Allocator = std::allocator<T>>  
using bounded_queue =  
    basic_bounded_queue<T,  
                        concurrent_queue_type::concurrent_queue  
                        | concurrent_queue_type::async_queue,  
                        Allocator>;
```



# Concepts Use in Queue Implementation

- In `basic_bounded_queue::Popper::~~Popper()`:  

```
if constexpr (async_concurrent_queue<basic_bounded_queue>) {  
    if (asyncPusher) {  
        q->doPush(false, std::move(asyncPusher->val));  
        asyncPusher->scheduleContinuation(true);  
    }  
}
```



# Proposal

- Confirm SG1 decision to make concepts non-exposition-only again
- Modify concept `basic_concurrent_queue` to require explicit opt-in via tag type
- Put `bounded_queue` into separate header `<bounded_queue>`
- Not proposed (yet): `basic_bounded_queue`



# Questions

- ?????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Code: branch base-bounded-queue in  
<https://gitlab.com/cppzs/bounded-queue/>

or directly:

<https://gitlab.com/cppzs/bounded-queue/-/tree/base-bounded-queue>

Detlef Vollmann

[dv@vollmann.ch](mailto:dv@vollmann.ch)

<http://www.vollmann.ch/>