Audience: LEWG

A Lifetime-Management Primitive for Trivially Relocatable Types

David Sankel 1, Jon Bauman 2, Pablo Halpern 3

- ¹ Adobe dsankel@adobe.com
- ² Rust Foundation jonbauman@rustfoundation.org
- ³ Halpern-Wight, Inc. phalpern@halpernwightsoftware.com

October 31, 2025

Abstract

"Trivial Relocatability For C++ 26"[1] introduced mechanisms for the identification and tagging of types whose objects can be "trivially" relocated from one memory address to another, as well as standard library functions that perform this relocation. A call to std::trivially_relocate performs a logically atomic operation whereby an object's representation is copied, its lifetime is ended at the original location, and its lifetime is restarted at the target location, without invoking any constructors or destructors. Useful as they are, these standard library functions are insufficient for important use cases where the three component operations must be separated by intervening code, such as realloc support, value representation serialization, and cross language interoperability. We propose to complete the trivial relocation function set with the addition of a single function template, std::restart_lifetime, that addresses these unsupported use cases.

Context

1. History

- P3858R1. Added Application to Type-Erasure section.
 Clarified that redundant std::restart_lifetime calls are supported. Fixed several code, wording, and prose issues. Added more acknowledgments. Added references to related national body comments.
- P3858R0. Initial version.

2. Introduction

It is a common yet unspecified property that for many types, an object can be relocated with a memcpy of its underlying bytes. Although the standard guarantees this only for the small number of *trivially copyable* types, virtually all C++ compilers support memcpy-relocation of non-self-referential types. Many applications have taken advantage of this property for performance optimizations and a number of libraries have emerged that attempt to surface this functionality in a generic way.¹

After much debate, the committee added a form of this functionality to the working draft[3] with "Trivial Relocatability For $C++26"[1]^2$. An important trade-off in this design is that qualifying types may be "trivially" relocated using only the trivially_relocate function; memcpy will not suffice.

```
// Foo is a trivially relocatable, but not
// trivially copyable, type.
class Foo { /*...*/ };
static_assert(
     std::is_trivially_relocatable_v<Foo>()
&& !std::is_trivially_copyable_v<Foo>());
void f() {
  alignas(Foo) char x1_buffer[sizeof(Foo)],
                    x2_buffer[sizeof(Foo)],
                    y1_buffer[sizeof(Foo)],
                    y2_buffer[sizeof(Foo)];
  // Relocating using std::memcpy results in
  // undefined behavior.
 Foo* x1 = ::new (x1_buffer) Foo();
  std::memcpy(&y1_buffer, x1, sizeof(Foo));
  Foo* y1 = reinterpret_cast<Foo*>(y1_buffer);
  y1->bar(); // Undefined behavior
  // Relocating using std::trivially_relocate
  // works as expected.
 Foo* x2 = ::new (x2\_buffer) Foo();
  Foo* y2 = std::trivially_relocate(
```

```
x2+1,
    reinterpret_cast<Foo*>(&y2_buffer));
y2->bar(); // Okay
}
```

Among other benefits, this type-aware design enables the ARM64e ABI which encodes an object's address in its virtual table (vtable) pointer making memcpy-relocation impossible for polymorphic types on this platform³. The requirement to call std::trivially_relocate provides an opportunity for the standard library to perform "fixups" on these vtable pointers.

While std::trivially_relocate suffices for many use cases and neatly handles the ARM64e platform, other important use cases remain unaddressed⁴. We propose to complement std::trivially_relocate with another function, std::restart_lifetime, that addresses these use cases.

3. Key std::trivially_relocate limitations

3.1. realloc use case

Allocation libraries often feature a reallocation function (such as C's realloc) that attempts to resize a given memory block⁵. It either extends the block in-place or moves its contents to a new, larger allocation, freeing the original block in the process.

Reallocation serves as an important performance optimization for high-performance, low-level code that dynamically resizes arrays. By taking advantage of the allocation library's knowledge of available space after the originally allocated block, expensive copy operations and fragmentation can be avoided.

However, because these reallocation functions potentially memcpy-relocate objects, they may only portably be used with *trivially copyable* types and std::trivially_relocate will not help.

3.2. Serialization use case

In-memory databases[11] and tiered caching systems frequently relocate data structures from memory to disk and back again. Unfortunately, this operation is possible only for *trivially copyable* types due to the lack of sufficient library primitives for *trivially relocatable* types.

¹See [1] and [2] for a survey of such libraries.

²See [2] for a notable design alternative that was considered, but ultimately rejected.

 $^{^3}$ This is a memory safety vulnerability mitigation. See [4] and [5] for details.

⁴See national body comments RO 1-134 [6] and US 74-135 [7]

⁵See mimalloc[8], umm_malloc[9], and tcmalloc[10] for some examples.

3.3. Specialized memopy use case

A tuned memory copy operation can produce a 10% speedup over std::memcpy, and heterogeneous memory systems require an alternative⁶. std::trivially_relocate's coupling of the physical moving of an object with restarting its lifetime makes it is impossible to portably take advantage of these mechanisms with trivially relocatable types.

3.4. Rust-interop use case

One of the major challenges for high-performance interop is language differences in how memory for object storage is handled. For Rust and C++ to use the same memory for an object that either language can access, we must account for the differing models of ownership and relocation. While current practice tends to use indirection so that the underlying storage is opaque across the language boundary, this has a cost both in performance and ergonomics.

Moves in C++ are non-destructive, whereas Rust's ownership model is based on destructive moves and it is a compile-time error to refer to a moved-from location. This facilitates Rust's value-oriented semantics where all assignments (including parameters and return values) transfer ownership⁷. This is a fundamental piece of the memory-safe model of default Rust. To facilitate efficient moves, Rust defines their semantics as a bitwise copy⁸. In other words, all Rust objects are similar to trivially copyable types C++, except that assignment invalidates the source location⁹. The fact that Rust objects cannot be selfreferential 10 facilitates this. Rust has no analog to a C++ move constructor, meaning there is no opportunity for additional code that may be added to trivially_relocate in C++ to run following a Rust move. Without the addition of std::restart_lifetime, only trivially copyable C++ types could be passed to Rust by value. Other types must be allocated on the heap, which is a significant performance penalty, or be pinned¹¹, which has a significant ergonomics penalty.

4. restart_lifetime

We propose a restart_lifetime function that fits within the start_lifetime_as series of functions. It allows us to separate the "memory copying" aspect of relocation from restarting the object's lifetime at the new memory address.

Here is an implementation of std::trivially_relocate using restart_lifetime as a lower-level primitive.

This separation of concerns allows developers to copy an object's value representation to a new location by any means and then use it from the new location after a call to std::restart_lifetime. This enables all the use-cases highlighted in Section 3.

Here is an example of using std::restart_lifetime to roundtrip a Foo object from main memory to GPU memory.

```
void * host_buffer = /*...*/
void * device_buffer = /*...*/
// Create a `Foo` object in host memory
Foo* x = ::new (host_buffer) Foo;
// Move it to CUDA memory
cudaMemcpy( device_buffer,
            host_buffer,
            sizeof(Foo),
            cudaMemcpyHostToDevice );
// ... reuse host_buffer for other purposes
// Move it back to host memory
cudaMemcpy( host_buffer,
            device_buffer,
            sizeof(Foo),
            cudaMemcpyDevicetoHost );
// Restart the object's lifetime on the host
x = std::restart_lifetime<Foo>(host_buffer);
// ... continue using *x
```

std::restart_lifetime may also be safely called multiple times on the same address. This simplifies oper-

 $^{^6} See$ "Going faster than memcpy"[12] and CUDA's cudaMemcpy[13] for some notable examples.

⁷Rust can also use references for "borrows", which provide either shared immutable access or exclusive mutable access to a value with a compiler-checked lifetime

⁸See https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/std/marker/trait.Copy.html#whats-the-difference-between-copy-and-clone and https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/std/ptr/fn.read.html#ownership-of-the-returned-value

⁹Unless the type implements the Copy trait. See https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/std/marker/trait.Copy.html

¹⁰Raw, unsafe pointers and Pinned data are two ways Rust can express self-referential types

¹¹See https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/std/pin/index.html

ations like realloc, as one can unconditionally call std::restart_lifetime on the returned pointer without tracking if it is the same as the original.

4.1. Addressing Rust-interop

Since std::trivially_relocate can be decomposed into a bitwise copy followed by std::restart_lifetime, and it's only necessary to make sure that the latter occurs before accessing the potentially authenticated C++ vtable pointers, there is an opportunity to lazily perform fixups on the C++ side. For example, say we have a polymorphic class hierarchy implemented in C++:

```
class Shape {
public:
 virtual float area() const = 0;
  virtual ~Shape() = default;
};
class Circle final : public Shape {
public:
 Circle(float radius);
 float area() const override;
private:
  float m_area;
};
```

We'd like to interact with this API idiomatically within Rust:

```
let mut a = Circle::new(1.0);
let b = Circle::new(2.0);
a = b;
println!("a's area: {}", a.area());
```

To do so, we first observe that Shape and Circle are trivially relocatable and replaceable types. We denote the alignment of Circle as CIRCLE_ALIGNMENT and its size as CIRCLE_SIZE. Now we can define the Rust-side Circle type:

```
#[repr(C)]
#[repr(align(CIRCLE_ALIGNMENT))]
struct Circle {
    data: UnsafeCell<[MaybeUninit<u8>;
CIRCLE_SIZE]>,
```

where data holds the bit representation of the object¹². Let's now turn to Circle's methods. Their implementations are essentially boilerplate that delegates to corresponding C functions prefixed with c_:

```
impl Circle {
    fn new(radius: f32) -> Circle {
```

```
let mut c = MaybeUninit::uninit();
        unsafe { c_create(
            c.as_mut_ptr() as *mut c_void,
            &radius as *const f32
                    as *mut c_void)};
        unsafe { c.assume_init() }
    }
    fn area(&self) -> f32 {
        let mut result = MaybeUninit::uninit();
        unsafe { c_area(
            result.as_mut_ptr() as *mut c_void,
            self as *const Circle
                 as *mut c_void)};
        unsafe { result.assume_init() }
    }
}
```

These C functions are implemented as follows:

```
void c_create(void* result, void* radius) {
    ::new (result) Circle(
      *static_cast<float*>(radius));
}
void c_area(void* result, void* circle) {
   Circle* data =
      std::restart_lifetime<Circle>(circle);
    *static_cast<float*>(result) = data->area();
```

With the addition of such easily generated wrapper code, efficient and ergonomic access from Rust can be achieved. Furthermore, on platforms where std::restart_lifetime is a no-op, there is no performance penalty.

5. Implementation

On most platforms, the implementation std::restart_lifetime is a no-op. The exception is ARM64e where polymorphic types and types with polymorphic data members require special handling as follows:

- 1. If the object is polymorphic, set its vtable pointers and cryptographically sign them according to the object's new address.
- 2. Recursively do the same for the object's fields.

Implementation experience is in progress but we do not foresee difficulties with either the no-op implementation on most platforms or the more sophisticated ARM64e implementation¹³.

¹²See https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/std/cell/struct.Cell.html, https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/std/mem/union.MaybeUninit. html

¹³Note that at the time of this writing, there are no implementations of std::trivially_relocate for ARM64e. See https://github. com/llvm/llvm-project/pull/144420 for a work in progress

6. Other considerations

6.1. Will this undermine ARM64e security guarantees?

An ARM643 std::trivially_relocate implementation that indiscriminately signs existing vtable pointers without a priori verifying their validity results in a Return-Oriented Programming (ROP) Gadget exploitable by hackers using buffer overruns. We avoid this attack by overwriting the vtable pointers to their correct values, thus eliminating the possibility that an attacker could set them to arbitrary memory locations.

6.2. Why is this being brought up now (and not earlier)?

Although trivial relocatability has been discussed for many years, issues related to ARM64e were brought forward only recently¹⁴. It took us the time since then to understand the issues and formulate a suitable solution.

6.3. Is this a bug fix or a feature?

An important aspect of the trivial relocatability's feature design is its basis operations. The basis operations provided in the C++26 working draft did not satisfy important use cases and that was discovered only recently. Consequently, it can be argued that this contribution is a bug fix as the intention is to ship a *complete* trivial relocatability solution in C++26.

6.4. Is this critical for C++26?

Whether or not this feature is considered a bug fix, it can be argued that it is critical that this functionality be shipped in C++26 due to the urgency of memory safety initiatives. The ability to call existing C++ code ergonomically from Rust is a critical part of the memory safety roadmaps of many major corporations. Delaying this functionality by three years may force undesirable choices like depending on non-portable undefined behavior for interop or a strong push to rewrite existing C++ code that works just fine.

6.5. Should this replace trivially_relocate instead of complement it?

Some have argued that std::trivially_relocate is unnecessary in the standard library because it can be implemented in terms of std::restart_lifetime, while std::relocate covers the most common use cases.

We disagree with this assertion. std::trivially_relocate has legitimate use cases, one being a replacement for

similar operations provided by existing library relocation solutions.

6.6. Application to Type-Erasure¹⁵

Currently, it is impossible to implement a typeerased wrapper that is trivially relocatable when its wrapped type is also known to be trivially relocatable. std::restart_lifetime makes this possible.

The implementation strategy is to ensure std::restart_lifetime is called prior to every wrapped operation. In the case of a std::move_only_function wrapping a type T, for example, the opaque function called as part of its call operator will simply call std::restart_lifetime<T> prior to calling T::operator().

7. Alternatives considered

7.1. Pass in origin pointer

We also considered an alternative formulation of restart_lifetime that accepted the object's original location in addition to the new location. Its definition is provided below.

Mandates: is_trivially_relocatable_v<T> && ! is_const_v<T> is true.

Preconditions:

- [p, (char*)p + sizeof(T)) denotes a region of allocated storage that is a subset of the region of storage reachable through [basic.compound] p and suitably aligned for the type T.
- The contents of [p, (char*)p + sizeof(T)) is the object representation of an object a of type T that was stored at origin.
- *a* was not a potentially-overlapping subobject.

Effects: Implicitly creates an object b within the denoted region whose type is T, whose address is p, whose lifetime has begun, and whose value representation is the same as that of a.

Returns: A pointer to the *b* defined in the *Effects* paragraph.

The rationale was that on ARM64e, the origin pointer could be used to validate the vtable pointers in the new

¹⁴Their first mention was in May of 2025 with [2]

¹⁵Originally raised by Arthur O'Dwyer in [14]

location before re-signing them. This design, however, is significantly more complicated than our proposal and is considered overly tailored to ARM64e.

7.2. Extension for inter-process communication

Another alternative we considered was to generalize restart_lifetime to support reconstituting an object from its value representation across different processes. This would involve completely restoring the invisible parts of an object (such as vtable pointers) in a new context based solely on its byte representation. However, we concluded that this approach is fraught with difficulty for several reasons.

First, such a function would be very difficult, if not impossible, for a compiler to optimize into a no-op, as it cannot assume that the source and destination contexts are identical. Second, C++ currently lacks a mechanism to formally describe or mandate a "same layout" guarantee for non-standard-layout types across different compiler versions, platforms, or even separate compilations of the same program; future reflection capabilities might provide a path toward establishing such guarantees, but this is beyond the scope of this paper. Finally, describing a mandate for a "valid member state" is problematic. The language does not provide a standardized way to describe or check class invariants, making it difficult to specify preconditions for a function that must reconstitute an object from a potentially untrusted byte stream without invoking a constructor.

While a more powerful cross-process variation of restart_lifetime could be considered in the future, we wanted this to be a minimalist proposal. Our goal is to address the immediate and well-understood use cases of in-process relocation without venturing into the more complex domain of general-purpose serialization.

7.3. Names

Another name we considered was start_lifetime_at due to its similarity to the start_lifetime_as function group. The authors do not have strong preferences between start_lifetime_at and restart_lifetime.

8. Wording

Add to end of [obj.lifetime].

template<class T>
T* restart_lifetime(void* p) noexcept;
template<class T>

Mandates: is_trivially_relocatable_v<T> && !
is_const_v<T> is true.

Preconditions:

- [p, (char*)p + sizeof(T)) denotes a region of allocated storage that is a subset of the region of storage reachable through [basic.compound] p and suitably aligned for the type T.
- The contents of [p, (char*)p + sizeof(T)) is the object representation of a previously existing object a of type T.
- *a* was not a potentially-overlapping subobject.

Effects: Implicitly creates an object *b* within the denoted region whose type is T, whose address is p, whose lifetime has begun, and whose value representation is the same as that of *a*. If *a* was still within its lifetime, its lifetime is ended.

Returns: A pointer to the *b* defined in the *Effects* paragraph.

9. Conclusion

The std::trivially_relocate primitive, while valuable, is insufficient for a number of important, real-world use cases involving realloc-driven optimizations, serialization, and cross-language interoperability. We propose a minimal, orthogonal primitive, std::restart_lifetime, which decomposes relocation into its constituent parts: a byte-wise copy and a subsequent lifetime restart. This separation of concerns directly enables the aforementioned use cases. Crucially, it provides a portable and ergonomic pathway for interoperability with other languages, such as Rust, supporting critical industry-wide memory safety initiatives. Given its importance as a completion of the trivial relocatability feature set and its low implementation cost, we believe this proposal should be considered for C++26.

10. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Oliver Hunt for his review from an ARM64e security perspective, Jens Maurer for wording assistance, the P2786 authors and Jonathan Müller for valuable feedback, and Jacob Lifshay and Arthur O'Dwyer for minor fixes.

Bibliography

- [1] Alisdair Meredit, Mungo Gill, Joshua Berne, Corentin Jabot, Pablo Halpern, and Lori Hughes, "Trivial Relocatability for C++26," Feb. 2025. Accessed: July 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://wg21.link/p2786r13
- [2] Arthur O'Dwyer *et al.,* "`std::is_trivially_relocatable`," May 2025. Accessed: May 13, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://wg21.link/p1144R13
- [3] ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC22/WG21, "Working Draft, Programming Languages C++ (N5014)," Aug. 2025.
 [Online]. Available: https://www.open-std.org/ JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2025/n5014.pdf
- [4] Oliver Hunt and John McCall, "A gentle introduction to pointer authentication," June 2018. Accessed: July 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://waffles.dog/d3751r0.html
- [5] John McCall and Ahmed Bougacha, "arm64e: An ABI for Pointer Authentication." Oct. 22, 2019. Accessed: July 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://llvm.org/devmtg/2019-10/slides/McCall-Bougacha-arm64e.pdf
- [6] Romania, "RO 1-134 20.2.6 [obj.lifetime] Add a start_lifetime_at function P3858 ." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/cplusplus/nbballot/ issues/713
- [7] United States, "US 74-135 20.2.6 [obj.lifetime] Add a start_lifetime_at function." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/cplusplus/nbballot/issues/714
- [8] Microsoft, "mimalloc." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/microsoft/mimalloc
- [9] Ralph Hempel, "umm_malloc." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/rhempel/umm_malloc
- [10] Google, "tcmaloc." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/google/tcmalloc
- [11] Wikipedia contributors, "In-memory data-base." [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/In-memory_database
- [12] Dheeraj Rajaram Reddy, "Going faster than memcpy." [Online]. Available: https://squadrick.dev/journal/going-faster-than-memcpy

- [13] NVidia, "`cudaMemcpy`." [Online]. Available: https://developer.download.nvidia.com/compute/DevZone/docs/html/C/doc/html/group_CUDART_MEMORY_g48efa06b81cc031b 2aa6fdc2e9930741.html
- [14] Arthur O'Dwyer, "Thoughts on P3858R0 `restart_lifetime<T>`." [Online]. Available: https://quuxplusone.github.io/blog/2025/10/18/thoughts-on-p3858r0/