Wording for Constexpr Lambda

Document #: P0170R0

Date: 2015-11-01

Revises: N4487

Working Group: Core

Reply to: Faisal Vali

(faisalv@yahoo.com)

Faisal Vali Jens Maurer Richard Smith

Abstract

This paper presents core wording for the proposal N4487 that was accepted by the Evolution Working Group in Kona on 2015-10-22. N4487 proposed allowing certain *lambda-expressions* and operations on certain closure objects to appear within constant expressions. In doing so, N4487 proposed that a closure type be considered a literal type if the type of each of its data-members is a literal type; and, that if the constexpr specifier is omitted within the *lambda-declarator*, that the generated function call operator be constexpr if it would satisfy the requirements of a constexpr function (similar to the constexpr inference that already occurs for implicitly defined constructors and the assignment operator functions).

1 Précis

In brief, N4487 proposed the following:

1) *lambda-expressions* should be allowed to appear within constant expressions if the initialization of each of its closure-type's data members are allowed within a constant expression:

```
constexpr int AddEleven(int n) {
    // Initialization of the 'data member' for n can
    // occur within a constant expression since 'n' is
    // of literal type.
    return [n] { return n + 11; }();
}
static_assert(AddEleven(5) == 16, "");
```

2) The closure type should be a literal type if the type of each of its data-members is a literal type. This would allow the relevant special member functions to be constexpr (if not deleted) and thus evaluatable within constant expressions:

```
constexpr auto add = [] (int n, int m) {
  auto L = [=] { return n; };
  auto R = [=] { return m; };
  return [=] { return L() + R(); };
};
static_assert(add(3, 4)() == 7, "");
```

3) The constexpr specifier should be allowed within the *lambda-declarator* to specify the function call operator (or template) as constexpr:

```
auto ID = [] (int n) constexpr { return n; };
constexpr int I = ID(3);
```

4) If the constexpr specifier is omitted within the *lambda-declarator*, the function call operator (or template) is constexpr if it would satisfy the requirements of a constexpr function:

```
auto ID = [](int n) { return n; };
constexpr int I = ID(3);
```

5) The conversion function (to pointer-to-function) should, if it exists, be constexpr. If the corresponding function call operator is constexpr, the conversion function shall return the address of a function that is constexpr:

```
auto addOne = [] (int n) {
   return n + 1;
};
constexpr int (*addOneFp)(int) = addOne;
static_assert(addOneFp(3) == addOne(3), "");
```

2 Core Wording

In [basic.types] 3.9 change bullet 10.5.2:

```
A type is a literal type if it is:

(10.1) — possibly cv-qualified void; or

(10.2) — a scalar type; or

(10.3) — a reference type; or

(10.4) — an array of literal type; or

(10.5) — a possibly cv-qualified class type (Clause 9) that has all of the following properties:

(10.5.1) — it has a trivial destructor,

(10.5.2) — it is either a closure type (5.1.2 expr.prim.lambda), an aggregate type, (8.5.1) or has at least one constexpr constructor or constructor template that is not a copy or move constructor, and

(10.5.3) — all of its non-static data members and base classes are of non-volatile literal types.
```

In [expr.prim.lambda] 5.1.2/1 replace the mutable_{opt} terminal with the decl-specifier-seq_{opt} production, with the contraint that it shall only be mutable or constexpr

```
lambda-declarator:
( parameter-declaration-clause ) mutable decl-specifier-seq<sub>opt</sub>
exception-specification<sub>opt</sub> attribute-specifier-seq<sub>opt</sub> trailing-return-type<sub>opt</sub>
```

The decl-specifier-seq of the lambda-declarator shall only contain mutable or constexpr. [Example:

```
constexpr auto zero = monoid(0);
constexpr auto one = monoid(1);
static_assert(add(one)(zero)() == one()); // OK

auto two = monoid(2);
assert(two() == 2); // OK
static_assert(add(one)(one)() == two()); // ill-formed: two() is not a constant expression static_assert(add(one)(one)() == monoid(2)()); // OK

— end example]
```

Change [expr.prim.lambda] 5.1.2/3

The type of the lambda-expression (which is also the type of the closure object) is a unique, unnamed nonunion class type — called the closure type — whose properties are described below. This class type is neither an aggregate (8.5.1) nor a literal type (3.9) not an aggregate type (8.5.1). ...

Change [expr.prim.lambda] 5.1.2/5:

... This function call operator or operator template is declared const (9.3.1) if and only if the *lambda-expression's parameter-declaration-clause* is not followed by mutable. It is neither virtual nor declared volatile. Any exception-specification specified on a *lambda-expression* applies to the corresponding function call operator or operator template. An attribute-specifier-seq in a *lambda-declarator* appertains to the type of the corresponding function call operator or operator template. The function call operator or any given operator template specialization is a constexpr function if the corresponding *lambda-expression's parameter-declaration-clause* is followed by constexpr, or it satisfies the requirements for a constexpr function. [Note: Names referenced in the lambda-declarator are looked up in the context in which the lambda-expression appears. —end note]

```
[Example:
    auto endofunctor = [](auto a) { return a; };
    static_assert(endofunctor(3) == 3); // OK

struct NonLiteral {
    NonLiteral(int n) : n(n) { }
    int n;
    };

static_assert(endofunctor(NonLiteral{3}).n == 3); // ill-formed

— end example]
```

Change [expr.prim.lambda] 5.1.2/6

The closure type for a non-generic *lambda-expression* with no *lambda-capture* has a public **constexpr** non-virtual non-explicit const conversion function to pointer to function with C++ language linkage (7.5) having the same parameter and return types as the closure type's function call operator. The value returned by this conversion function shall be the address of a function that, when invoked, has the same effect as invoking the closure type's function call operator. It shall be the address of a constexpr function if the function call operator is a constexpr function. For a generic lambda with no lambda-capture, the closure type has a public **constexpr** non-virtual non-explicit const conversion function template to pointer to function. ...

The value returned by any given specialization of this conversion function template shall be the address of a function that, when invoked, has the same effect as invoking the generic lambda's corresponding function call operator template specialization. It shall be the address of a constexpr function if the corresponding specialization is a constexpr function. [Note: ...

[Example:

```
auto L = [](auto a) { return a; };
auto M = [](int (*fp)(int), auto a) { return fp(a); };
static_assert(M(L,3) == 3); // OK

// no specialization of the function call operator template can be constexpr.
auto V = [](auto a) { static int I; return a; };
static_assert(M(V,3) == 3); // ill-formed
```

— end example

Change [expr.prim.lambda] 5.1.2/16:

An entity is captured by reference if it is implicitly or explicitly captured but not captured by copy. It is unspecified whether additional unnamed non-static data members are declared in the closure type for entities captured by reference. If declared, such non-static data members shall be of literal type.

```
[Example:

// the inner closure type must be a literal type regardless of how reference captures are handled

// by the implementation

static_assert([](int n) { return [&n] { return ++n; }(); }(3) == 4);

— end example [
```

Remove bullet [expr.const] 5.20/2.6:

```
— a lambda-expression (5.1.2);
```

Modify bullet [expr.const] 5.20/2.10:

— in a lambda-expression, a reference to this or to a variable with automatic storage duration defined outside that lambda-expression, where the reference would be an odr-use (3.2, 5.1.2), unless the reference is to a non-volatile object whose lifetime began within the evaluation of e; [Example:

```
// 'v' & 'm' are odr-used & captured by the nested lambdad
auto monad = [](auto v) { return [=] { return v; }; };
auto bind = [](auto m) {
    return [=](auto fvm) { return fvm(m()); };
};

// OK to have captures to automatic objects created during
// the constant expression evaluation.
static_assert(bind(monad(2))(monad)() == monad(2)());
-- end example
```

3 Acknowledgment

Ville Voutilainen & Gabriel Dos Reis for co-authoring the original proposal: N4487