

---

---

# Conformance of Freestanding Implementations

---

---

Doc No: **X3J16/94-0015**  
**WG21/N0402**  
Date: **January 21, 1994**  
Project: Programming Language C++  
Reply-To: Neal M Gafter  
gafter@mri.com

## 1 Introduction

- (1) The ANSI/ISO C standard draws a distinction between *freestanding* and *hosted* implementations. Hosted implementations must provide the full set of standard-defined libraries. Freestanding implementations, on the other hand, have an implementation-defined set of libraries available.
- (2) The purpose for this distinction is to allow conforming C implementations targeted to systems in which a full operating system is not available. For example, a conforming (freestanding) C implementation could generate code to execute in a microwave oven or in the braking system of an automobile. It would not make sense to require such an implementation to support standard I/O.
- (3) I believe this distinction is valuable for the C++ as well, so that the embedded systems market can be served by a standard that applies to its processors and programs.

## 2 Proposal

- (1) I propose to add the following to the WP, in addition to what is in X3J16/93-0063 = WG21/N0270 (voted into the draft at Munich, motion 3) section A “Processor Compliance” to distinguish between two kinds of implementations: *freestanding* and *hosted*:
  3. Two kinds of implementations are defined: *hosted* and *freestanding*. For a hosted implementation, this standard defines the set of available libraries. A freestanding implementation is one in which execution may take place without the benefit of an operating system, and has an implementation-defined set of libraries. This set shall include the C++ language support libraries (Section 17.1), the C language support libraries (<float.h>, <limits.h>, <stdarg.h>, and <stddef.h>), and the functions `abort()`, `atexit()`, and `exit()` in <stdlib.h>.
- (2) These changes are modelled after the “Compliance” and “Freestanding Environment” sections of the ISO C standard (ANSI X3.159-1989 sections 1.7 and 2.1.2.1), with the three functions `abort`, `exit`, and `atexit` added because they are explicitly referred to elsewhere in the standard.