1. Opening activities

John Spicer opened the meeting at 9:01AM UTC+1.

1.1 Opening comments, welcome from host

John Spicer presents.

The agenda is available on the wiki. If you are new, please ask the person next to you for information on how to access the meeting wiki. Please don’t edit the wiki. Attach papers to the wiki as needed.

Hana Dusíková presents.
If you have any questions email me or find me. Thank you to the sponsors.

1.2 Meeting Guidelines

Every participant is responsible for understanding and abiding by the following:
- [The INCITS Antitrust Guidelines](#) (PL22.16)
- [The INCITS Patent Policy](#) (PL22.16)
- [The ISO Code of Conduct](#)
- [The IEC Code of Conduct](#)
- [The WG21 Practices and Procedures, and Code of Conduct](#)

John Spicer presents meeting guidelines.
Please make sure you are familiar with these documents.
If you have any CoC concerns you can bring them to me (John Spicer), Herb Sut-
ter, your NB representative or a subgroup chair.
Everyone is expected to abide by these rules.
John opens the discussion about CoC.
No discussion.

1.3 Membership, voting rights, and procedures for the meeting

John Spicer presents. Meetings are not public, but we do welcome visitors. We want everyone to speak freely, so please refrain from public disclosure of information. You can take pictures, but avoid people's screens and any protected information.

John Spicer presents voting rights. If you are representing an organization that is considering formally joining PL22.16, or your organization is already a member and you wish to change your voting status, please inform an officer. Check with me (John Spicer) or Hal Finkel if you are not sure about your voting rights. In working groups everyone gets to vote. You do not have to vote in the subgroups. Vote if you are familiar with the subject and are prepared to vote.

Hal Finkel presents.
We have a new format of the attendance sheet. CoC is attached to the attendance sheet. Please sign in on the attendance sheet. Hal presents the structure of the attendance sheet.
If your name is not on the list, please add your name in the empty lines.
If the information is not correct, contact me.
If you need confirmation that you have been here every day, please contact me.
Wear your name tags to help scribes identify you.
If you need a paper number, please use the isocpp.org website. There is information about the paper number system on the meeting independent section of the wiki.

There will be a get together on Thursday at 5:30 to talk about the future of our automatic paper system and github, and how we might integrate these tools.

1.4 Introductions

Officers, WG chairs and SG chairs introduce themselves.
First time attendees introduce themselves.
John Spicer welcomes first time attendees.
Herb presents.
We have 15 NBs attending: Austria, Bulgaria, Canada, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Israel, Netherlands, Poland, Russia, Spain, Switzerland, UK, and US.
Welcome to the new NBs

1.5 Agenda review and approval

John Spicer presents the agenda for the meeting. The meeting will finish no later than 2pm on Saturday, but subgroups may continue working.

The meeting goals described above are derived from the schedule adopted in 2018 and described in: P1000R3

John Spicer presents the meeting goals. Primary goal is resolving remaining NB comments. In addition, there may be some work done on library fundamentals TS features.

PL22.16 motion to approve the meeting agenda.
Barry Hedquist moves. Marshall Clow seconds. The motion is unanimously approved by PL22.16.

WG21 motion to approve the meeting agenda.
The motion is unanimously approved by WG21.

1.6 Editor's reports, approval of working drafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Editor's report</th>
<th>Prospective WD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C++20 Standard</td>
<td>N4850</td>
<td>N4849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Fundamentals TS</td>
<td>N4841</td>
<td>N4840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PL22.16 motion to approve the working drafts.
Barry Hedquist moves. Marshall Clow seconds. The motion is unanimously approved by PL22.16.

WG21 motion to approve the working drafts.
The motion is unanimously approved by WG21.

1.7 Approval of the minutes of the previous meetings
PL22.16 motion to approve minutes of the previous meeting. Barry Hedquist moves. Marshall Clow seconds. The motion is unanimously approved by PL22.16.

WG21 motion to approve minutes of the previous meeting. The motion is unanimously approved by WG21.

2. Liaison reports, and WG21 study group reports (see pre-meeting WG21 telecon minutes)
   No discussion.

3. WG progress reports and work plans for the week (Core, Evolution, Library, Library Evolution; see pre-meeting WG21 telecon minutes)
   No discussion.

4. New business requiring action by the committee
   No discussion.

5. Organize working groups and study groups, establish working procedures
   Jens Maurer presents.
Jens presents the meeting times.
Jens presents the meeting rooms.
Jens presents evening activities.
Thank you to the projector carriers. Subgroup chairs - please make sure the projectors are not left in the room overnight.

If you need help with core wording, come talk to me (Jens Maurer).

6. **WG and SG sessions**

John Spicer presents. The WG and SG chairs must arrange for any proposals to be written up in the form of a motion, and made available by 8:00 PM Friday. Everybody is encouraged to put the papers on the straw poll page as soon as possible so people can get familiar with it. Please pay attention to the polls. If you have any questions or concerns, please raise them as soon as possible with the appropriate WG chair. We want to avoid surprises on Saturday morning.

Ville Voutilainen : In case of polls other than the normal subgroup polls, can we also have it on the straw poll page?
Herb Sutter : Yes.

Meeting adjourned at 9:54 AM UTC+1.

7. **Review of the meeting (Saturday 8:30 AM)**

Review of the meeting postponed to 9:00 AM due to long queues for the checkout at the hotel.

John Spicer opens the meeting at 9:00am UTC+1.

Herb Sutter explains the voting rights.
If you are a member of an NB, you can vote.
If you only represent a US company, only one person can vote.

WG and SG status and progress reports.

- **SG1: Concurrency (Giroux)**

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach presents.
At this meeting, executors design approved in LEWG. In Varna we expect wording review in LEWG. Target is to have executors ready to merge to IS in Kona in order to have executors and features that depend on executors ready for C++23.

When we’re not working on executors wording we will be doing design on:
• Concurrent algorithms.
• Parallel algorithms with executors.
• Coroutine interop.
• Future interop.
And more...

We will also be consulting on:
• Networking
• Asynchronous I/O

Pablo Halpern: How blocked is networking on the executors wording process?
Bryce Adelstein Lelbach: I do not think design decision are blocked on the executors wording process. However, it will probably block our ability to do wording review or prepare wording for things dependant on the executors.

◦ SG2: Modules (Stone)

No report.

◦ SG4: Networking (Snyder)

Jeff Snyder presents.
SG4 met for day and a bit. We reviewed one paper. We received various feedback on the Networking TS to modernise it and bring it up to the latest version of C++. We’re not blocked on wording of executors, we can do our work toward having an API which will work seamlessly with the executors.

◦ SG5: Transactional memory (Boehm)

Hans Boehm presents.
SG5 made progress this week, in spite of not meeting. SG1 started the wording review of transactional memory lite proposal. We hope to make it into a TS.

◦ SG6: Numerics (Lippincott)

Lisa Lippincott presents.
We processed one national body comment and four papers during our meeting on Monday. During the joint evolution discussion we lacked quorum, but had productive discussions of two other papers.
Tuesday we met in joint session with LEWGI, processing ten papers, including the linear algebra and physical units papers. We had further discussions of linear algebra with LEWGI on Thursday. Friday, we met in joint session with SGs 14 and 19, processing five mathematics papers, and held a very productive evening discussion on the physical units library.

The linear algebra and physical units efforts have proceeded nicely this week. Several major contributors to the Numbers TS were unavailable this meeting, and we made less progress on that front.

We have a random number generator on the horizon that is highly tuned for parallel execution and easy moving of the generator to another host.

We are also having discussions related to statistical functions.

Herb Sutter: How did the coordination with SG19 go?
Lisa Lippincott: It worked well.

◦ **SG7: Compile-time programming (Dusíková)**

Hana Dusíková presents.

SG7 met on Thursday, we discussed naming of reflection keywords, but we didn't decide anything.

We looked at JIT compilation and ask authors to research possibilities of unifying API with reflection. We also discussed reflection-based lazy-evaluation.

We also had lengthy discussion of compile-time programming model of C++ and decided we don't want to have ability to run any arbitrary code during compilation inside a compiler.

◦ **SG10: Feature test (Revzin)**

No report.

◦ **SG12: Undefined and unspecified behavior (Dos Reis)**

Gabriel Dos Reis presents.

SG12 met for three days. On Monday we had a joint session with MISRA. On Tuesday we had a joint session with WG23. Michael Wong chaired the session.

On Wednesday we discussed undefined behaviour. There were 6 papers, 2 of which mostly informative. There was one paper that we will move forward to core. It is an attempt to enumerate all known core undefined and unspecified behaviour. After that review, we decided to have an update to the process in EWG to ask proposal authors to provide an example and rationale if we are introducing new undefined behaviour.
Michael Wong presents.
On Monday we met with MISRA and considered single exit, exceptions and dynamic memory, brace initialisation, volatiles and others. MISRA is close to being published.
On Tuesday we spent all day on adding guidelines for templates and generics. This was a complex session, we wanted to show that templates is a good facility for type safety and to correctly categorise the issues. We are getting close to publishing the guidelines. There are 2 or 4 guidelines we haven’t looked at yet.

SG13: HMI & I/O (Human/Machine Interface) (Orr)

Roger Orr presents.
SG13 had a brief presentation of extracts from the 2019 CppCon keynote featuring Ben Smith showing web assembly in use.

We looked at [A Brief 2D Graphics Review] and encouraged exploration of work towards a separable color proposal, likely using on linear algebra.

Finally we worked through the use cases in [Audio I/O Software Use Cases]. We have a couple of weeks before the post meeting mailing deadline to collect additional use cases and will then solicit feedback on them from WG21 and the wider C++ community.

SG14: Games & low latency (Wong)

Michael Wong presents.
SG14 and SG19 met on Friday morning and Friday afternoon.
We looked at two papers on linear algebra and we want them to progress concurrently through LEWG and LWG.

We got a report back on the freestanding utilities. Given the fact that a lot of changes to the freestanding would not be acceptable, we decided to pick parts that will work out and we think that direction is working out well.
We reviewed the current and past status of SG14 and SG19 papers. It is now in a spreadsheet table which tells you which features got in and which features we are advancing, as well as features we are watching.
We looked at the progress of affinity and executors.
We got feedback from the financial group on how they would like to be able to pin separate threads.
We discussed a Low-cost Deterministic C++ Exceptions for Embedded Systems paper. It shows how current exception handling system can be implemented so it gives you deterministic bounds. You can find it on SG14 wiki.

As usual, these were joint session between SG14, SG19, and SG6
SG19: Machine Learning (Wong)

Michael Wong presents.
We started looking at simple statistical functions. The authors have been ping ponging between two designs. We have decided to set a high level goal and have the authors follow that goal and come back. There was a lot of feedback from SG6 and the Polish delegation. We will try to enlist these people to improve the paper. We also looked at graph library paper which is progressing well.
The third paper we looked at is about automatic differentiation. This is an extremely important topic in machine learning. The paper needs direction between having a language or a library design. We would like to partly base this on a library design, but some of it will depend on the work SG7 is doing. We advised the authors to follow the progress of SG7 reflection design.

SG15: Tooling (Adelstein Lelbach)

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach presents.
We met all day Friday. We looked at 6 papers. 4 were related to Modules Ecosystem TR. This is the second meeting where we have seen proposals unrelated to modules, this time we saw two papers related to the coroutines debugging experience. I suspect we will see more papers in this space in the future.

We asked the authors of the following papers to produce a combined P-number initial draft for review at Varna:

P1689 Dependency Information Format
P1788 Module Recipe and BMI Reuse
P1838 User-Facing Lexicon and File Extensions

The intention is that such a P number paper will be a target for other content for a tooling TR.

We had two guest chairs, Michael Spencer and Ben Boeckel. They both did an excellent job.

Pablo Halpern: is there any discussion about the tools that allow us to move at higher velocity?
Bryce Adelstein Lelbach: not yet, but I would guess we will start to see more discussion in that area.

SG18: LEWGI (Adelstein Lelbach)

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach presents.
We met on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and part of the day on Thursday. We had a joint session with SG6 Numerics on Tuesday and Thursday. We worked on a variety of numerics proposal.

We saw 22 papers, 14 of which are early proposals and 8 we have seen before.

No consensus to pursue - 2 papers
Further LEWGI direction review - 4 papers
Further LEWGI design review - 7 papers
Sent to LEWG - 8 papers
No action or sent to other group - 1 paper

Major work done:

P1883/P1031 Level I/O (LLIO)
Numerics TS
P1385 Linear Algebra
P1350/P1300 Units

Concurrency TS v2
P0260/P1958 Concurrent Queues

We had four guest chairs who did an excellent job. They were Billy Baker, Nevin Liner, Ryan McDougall, and Fabio Fracassi.

SG16: Unicode (Honermann)

Tom Honermann presents.
SG16 met for one and a half days. We struggled with quorum because of std::optional-ref discussion. Thank you to the scribes.
The most interesting topic we discussed involved the interaction between execution character sets and compile time evaluation as in the std::embed proposal and reflection. We found the answer in UTF-8 and are continuing in that direction.
We looked at 7 papers. 6 have progressed. Several went to EWG, and some will come back in Varna.
We had to turn down a std::regex proposal due to severe ABI considerations. We have a number of volunteers to bring a proposal to deprecate std::regex.

Peter Bindels: we are deprecating std::regex because it's unfixable and unusable.

SG17: EWG Incubator (Ballo)

Botond Ballo presents.

SG17 met for 3 days. We looked at approximately 23 papers.
Forwarded to EWG
- P2025R0 (Guaranteed copy elision for named return objects)
- P0870R1 (A proposal for a type trait to detect narrowing conversions)

Forwarded to EWG with modifications
- P1858R1 (Generalized pack declaration and usage)
- P2044R0 (Member templates for local classes)
- P1112R3 (Language support for class layout control)
- P1912R1 (Types with array-like object representations)
- P2008R0 (Enable variable template template parameters)
- P2013R0 (Freestanding language: optional ::operator new)
- P1949R1 (C++ identifier syntax using unicode standard annex 31)
- P1144R5 (Object relocation in terms of move plus destroy)

Gave feedback, did not forward
- P2011R0 (A pipeline-rewrite operator)
- P1985R0 (Universal template parameters)
- P2034R0 (Partially mutable lambda captures)
- P1609R3 (C++ should support just-in-time compilation)
- P1029R3 (move = bitcopies)

Forwarded to other subgroups
- P2069R0 (Stackable, thread local signal guards) — --- SG1, LEWG-I
- P1905R0 (In-source mechanism to identify importable headers) — --- SG15

May return after significant revisions / additional motivation
- P1818R1 (Narrowing and widening conversions)
- P1920R0 (Proposal of namespace templates)
- P1848R0 (Improve rules of standard layout)
- P2068R0 (Using ?: to reduce the scope of constexpr if)

No consensus at this time
- P1881R1 (Epochs: a backward-compatible language evolution mechanism)

SG20: Education (van Winkel)

JC van Winkel presents.
SG20 met all day on Friday and we had a very productive day. In the morning we enjoyed a presentation by Peter Sommerlad about Class design & special member functions and a report by Mike Spertus on his experience with teaching C++ with the subject of pointers delayed as much as possible. Finally we had a good discussion with Scott Schurr about education and undefined behavior.

The entire afternoon we spent on ironing out a skeleton for education guidelines for a "module topic". In these topics we discern foundational and more advanced aspects and where the border lies for a curriculum (don't teach things that would be
for the super experts only, rather mention what a student could explore by them- 
selves by referring to other materials). We also started work on two of these topics 
from our module document p1725 to be used as example for authors of guideline 
topics. These topics were user defined literals and copy semantics.

We will have regular telecons and our main focus will be having volunteers creating 
topic education guidelines using the examples and the skeleton. We encourage 
people who are interested in writing education guidelines for topics mentioned in 
p1725 to contact us.

◦ **SG21: Contracts (Spicer)**

John Spicer presents.
SG21 met for half a day on Friday. We had a presentation by Ville on previous dis-
agreements. We also saw a paper from Herb on assert vs assume. We took a 
number of directional polls based on that.
We are planning to have a telecon between now and Varna. We are planning to 
have a two half day sessions in Varna.

◦ **ABI group (Vandevoorde)**

No report.

◦ **Evolution (Bastien)**

JF Bastien presents.
EWG had a joint session with LEWG regarding ABI break policy.
Some polls are difficult to interpret. We decided not to promise ABI stability.
Most people are saying we should consider incremental ABI for every C++ release. 
This is not saying we will do it, this is saying we will consider it.
We did not have a consensus for a big ABI break for C++23, but it’s important to no-
tice a lot of people are in favour of it.
There were far more people in favour of a big ABI break at some point in time, but 
there were still 14 strongly against votes. Technically, this is not a strong consen-
sus, but I think it’s strong enough of a consensus to consider such a break. Consid-
ering something doesn’t mean we will do it.
We also took a poll that says when we are unable to resolve a conflict between per-
formance and ABI compatibility, we should prioritize performance. There were more 
positive votes than negative, but a lot of strongly against votes.

We addressed all NB comments.
We reviewed 36 papers. We saw all EWG papers, including some EWG-i papers.
Two papers had no consensus to change.

Papers of note :
• P1000 C++ IS schedule
• P0592 To boldly suggest an overall plan for C++23
• P1999 Process - double check evolutionary material via a Tentatively Ready status. This means that every paper we approve, we will make tentatively ready and wait one meeting before it goes to core.
• P2118: Documenting Core Undefined or Unspecified Behavior

Herb Sutter: P1999 is a very important paper for our process. The purpose of that extra meeting is to allow everyone to keep an eye out for all the new features coming in and to raise concerns early.

Tentatively ready papers (following our new process)
• P1847 Make declaration order layout mandated
• P2025 Guaranteed copy elision for named return objects
• P1949 C++ Identifier Syntax using Unicode Standard Annex 31

- Library Evolution (Winters)

Titus Winters presents.

Summary
We resolved all 3 remaining NB comments. We got through around 41 papers.
~10 design fixes for C++20.
There are still 40 papers left unreviewed. There is a significant backlog since Belfast.

Policy changes related papers:
Approved
P1999 - Process proposal: double-check evolutionary material via a Tentatively Ready status
P0592 - To boldly suggest an overall plan for C++23
P1851 - Guidelines For snake_case Concept Naming
P1656 - Throws: Nothing should be noexcept
P1000R4 - C++ IS schedule

Discussed
P1863 - ABI, Now or Never / P2028 - What is ABI and What Should WG21 Do About It?
P2027 - Moved-from objects need not be valid
P2065 - naming and aliases
Policy Changes

Tentatively ready papers:
P1901 - Enabling the Use of weak_ptr as Keys in Unordered Associative Containers
P1413 - Deprecate std::aligned_storage and std::aligned_union
P0401 - Providing size feedback in the Allocator interface
Forwarded to LWG
P2081 - Rebase the Library Fundamentals v3 TS on C++20
P1202 - Asymmetric Fences

Discussed but not forwarded
Executors
P0443 - A Unified Executors Proposal for C++

We approved (Unanimously) the design for Executors. We are awaiting draft wording, and will do a detailed review of the wording-design when that is available (presumably in Varna).

Discussed but not forwarded
P0901 - Size feedback in operator new
P1678 - Callbacks and Composition
P1619 - Functions for Testing Boundary Conditions on Integer Operations
P1028 - SG14 status_code and standard error object for P0709 Zero-overhead deterministic exceptions
P0211 - Allocator-aware library wrappers for dynamic allocation
P2037 - String's gratuitous assignment
P1899 - stride_view
P1843 - Comparison and Hasher Requirements
P1790 - Networking TS changes to enable better DynamicBuffer composition

Discussed but not forwarded
P1843 - Comparison and Hasher Requirements
P1406 - Add more std::hash specializations
P1425 - Iterators pair constructors for stack and queue
P1927 - Add std::is_partitioned_until algorithm
P1030 - std::filesystem::path_view

Varna will be my last meeting as chair. Chair appointment news will be forthcoming.

Nico Josuttis: there are policies being introduced, like the one for noexcept, which will not be voted here, but will have an impact on every paper. Do we somehow make these policies more formal?
Titus Winters: we do not forward papers that are violating current standing policies, and we'll get a plenary update on the policy as soon as we possible can.
Nico Josuttis: It does mean that these changes affect the papers being submitted. We should bring that up to attention here.
Titus Winters: Yes. At the moments this is only LEWG consensus.

John Spicer: What is the best way to find out about tentatively ready issues?
JF Bastien: On GitHub there will be a tentatively ready tag for EWG issues.
Titus Winters: In the post meeting status update there will be a link to LEWG tentatively ready items.

**Core (Miller)**

Mike Miller presents.

Our primary task for this week was to resolve NB comments started. We started with 27 and had a couple more come in from EWG. There are currently no open NB comments. Everything we considered ended on polls page. In addition to NB comments, we had several new features and changes that have been approved previously by EWG. In spare time we looked at those and you will see them in the polls. The order they appear on a polls page is the way we process them during the week.

I would like to call out poll number 8 (P2092R0). The instruction we got from EWG is to get this in C++20 if possible. We thought it was a bug fix level change, but it does deal with the definition of the language, rather than just wording. The issue resolves an ambiguity in the syntax.

We also had a few issues resolutions in between features and NB comments. You will see a collection of those in poll number 9.

Poll number 13 depends on changes that are in polls 1 and 3. The resolution from core is if either of them fails, we will withdraw poll number 13.

Poll number 17 depends on poll number 16. Poll number 17 has alternative wording based on whether 16 passes or fails.

We hoped to look at P1787, a massive rewrite of the look up rules. This has been a problem area for a long time and Davis is trying to find a unified approach. We took a brief look at and decided we can't deal with it in C++20 time frame. We think we can make progress in Varna and may very well pushback against new feature requests in order to spend a significant time progressing it. We want to get this early in C++23 and get some experience with it.

We will have 2 issues processing teleconferences to make some progress with open issues

Gabriel Dos Reis: Is the look up paper the one where NBs were asked whether they are comfortable applying them after NB comment resolution?

Jens Maurer: Yes.

Davis Herring: P2085 has been written as a response to P2002 and has only been seen here.

**CWG Polls**

1. Apply the changes in P2103R0 (Core Language Changes for NB Comments at the February, 2020 (Prague) meeting) to the C++ working paper (addressing US028, US033, US041, CA104, CA107, US115, and US117) and accept the changes for US028 and US041 as Defect Reports.
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

2. Apply the changes in P1779R3 (ABI isolation for member functions) to the working paper (addressing US090).

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.


No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

4. Accept as a Defect Report and apply the changes in P0593R6 (Implicit creation of objects for low-level object manipulation) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

5. Accept as a Defect Report and apply the changes in P1957R2 (Converting from $T^*$ to bool should be considered narrowing (re: US 212)) to the C++ working paper (addressing US212).

No discussion.
Objections in the room.

Herb Sutter explains the voting rules.
Jorg Brown : What is the objection based on?
Gabriel Dos Reis : this introduces a breaking change.
Herb Sutter : was this considered?
JF Bastien : yes, it is in the paper.
Mike Miller : it was considered in CWG.

In favour : 51
Opposed : 0
Abstain : 22

Motion passes.

6. Apply the changes in P2104R0 (Disallow changing concept values) to the C++ working paper (addressing GB046).
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

7. Apply the changes in P2107R0 (Core Issue 2436: US064 Copy semantics of coroutine parameters) to the C++ working paper (addressing US064).

No discussion.
Objections in the room.
In favour : 41
Opposed : 1
Abstain : 27

Motion passes.

8. Apply the changes in P2092R0 (Disambiguating Nested-Requirements) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

9. Accept as Defect Reports and apply the changes in P2108R0 (Core Language Working Group "ready" Issues for the February, 2020 (Prague) meeting) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

10. Apply the changes in P2109R0 (US084: Disallow "export import foo" outside of module interface) to the C++ working paper (addressing US084).

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

11. Apply the changes in P2082R1 (Fixing CTAD for aggregates) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

12. Apply the changes in P2113R0 (Proposed resolution for 2019 comment CA 112) to the C++ working paper (addressing CA112 and US120).
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

13. Apply the changes in P2115R0 (US069: Merging of multiple definitions for unnamed unscoped enumerations) to the C++ working paper (addressing US069).

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

14. Apply the changes in P1815R2 (Translation-unit-local entities) to the C++ working paper (addressing US035, US133, and US134).

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

15. Apply the changes in P2095R0 (Resolve lambda init-capture pack grammar (CWG2378)) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

16. Apply the changes in P2002R1 (Defaulted comparison specification cleanups) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

17. Apply the changes in P2085R0 (Consistent defaulted comparisons) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

18. Apply the changes in P1908R1 (Reserving Attribute Namespaces for Future Use) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.
19. Apply the changes in P1937R2 (Fixing inconsistencies between constexpr and constexpr functions) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

° Library (Clow)

Marshal Clow presents.
Our goals were: NB Comment processing, and to Rebase LFTS to C++20.
We accomplished both of them. Started the week with 48, and ended up with 1.
“Resolve all open Library issues”

We’re moving 25 C++ papers this week, and one LFTS paper.
Two issues papers, totaling 123 issues resolved (incl NAD/Resolved)
Finished Mandating the library clauses (Yay!)
Many papers resolving NB comments

Thanks to everyone who participated, and especially to the scribes.

This is my final meeting as LWG Chair. Keeping with the tradition that LWG chair serves for five years. My first meeting as chair was Lenexa (May 2015). Jonathan Wakely will serve as LWG chair starting as soon as plenary is over.

I did some looking back at the LWG bug list, and I discovered out that I have failed at whittling down the bug list.

In the pre-Lenexa mailing, there were 292 open bugs (1722 total).
In the post-Prague mailing, there should be ~290 open bugs (2921 total).

Thank you for all your help!

LWG Polls

Library Fundamentals
1. Apply the changes in P2081R1 (Rebase the Library Fundamentals v3 TS on C++20) to the Library Fundamentals TS working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.
Issues
2. Apply the changes in P2051R0 (C++ Standard Library Issues to be moved in Prague) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

3. Apply the changes in P2117R0 (C++ Standard Library Issues Resolved Directly In Prague) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

C++20
4. Apply the changes in P2045R1 (Missing Mandates for the standard library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

5. Apply the changes in P1460R1 (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 20 - Utilities library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

6. Apply the changes in P1963R0 (Fixing US 313) to the C++ working paper. This resolves US313 and LWG issue 3156

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.


No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.
8. Apply the changes in P1981R0 (Rename leap to leap_second) to the C++ working paper. This resolves DE345.

   No discussion.
   No objection to unanimous consent.
   Motion passes.

9. Apply the changes in P1982R0 (Rename link to time_zone_link) to the C++ working paper. This resolves DE346.

   No discussion.
   No objection to unanimous consent.
   Motion passes.

10. Apply the changes in P2101R0 ('Models' subsumes 'satisfies' (Wording for US298 and US300)) to the C++ working paper. This resolves US298, US300 and LWG issue 3345.

    No discussion.
    No objection to unanimous consent.
    Motion passes.

11. Apply the changes in P1115R3 (Improving the Return Value of Erase-Like Algorithms II: Free erase/erase if) to the C++ working paper. This resolves DE231, GB234.

    No discussion.
    No objection to unanimous consent.
    Motion passes.

12. Apply the changes in P2102R0 (Make 'implicit expression variations' more explicit (Wording for US185)) to the C++ working paper. This resolves US185.

    No discussion.
    No objection to unanimous consent.
    Motion passes.

13. Apply the changes in P1994R1 (elements_view needs its own sentinel) to the C++ working paper. This resolves LWG3386.

    No discussion.
    No objection to unanimous consent.
    Motion passes.

14. Apply the changes in P1868R2 (width: clarifying units of width and precision in std::format) to the C++ working paper. This resolves US228 and LWG issue 3290.
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

15. **Apply the changes in P1956R1 (On the names of low-level bit manipulation functions) to the C++ working paper. This resolves PL326, US327, GB332, US328, GB331.**

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

16. **Apply the changes in P1976R2 (Fixed-size span construction from dynamic range) to the C++ working paper. This resolves PL250.**

Davis Herring: When constructors are explicit, some types of overload resolution ignore them, others tell you off if you pick them. Was the possibility of both of those considered when making these constructors explicit?

Titus Winters: Yes.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

17. **Apply the changes in P1964R2 (Wording for boolean-testable) to the C++ working paper. This resolves US198, US195, US196 and GB197.**

Davis Herring: The paper introduces a lot of wording to capture what certain operator expressions will do. Is the intention that the wording is complete, as in it always gets the right answer?

Jonathan Wakely: it’s conservative. It doesn’t account for types that are not really bool like.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

18. **Apply the changes in P2091R0 (Issues with range access CPOs) to the C++ working paper. This resolves GB275, LWG issues 3258, 3299, 3368.**

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

19. **Apply the changes in P0586R2 (Safe integral comparisons) to the C++ working paper, with the change of the name of the feature test macro from __cpp_lib_cmp_equal to __cpp_lib_integer_comparison_functions. This resolves DE208.**
Jonathan Wakely: I would like to propose amendment to the poll: the macro should be `__cpp_lib_integer_comparison_functions` because it better describes what it applies to.

No objections to amending the poll. Poll modified to reflect the proposed macro name.

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach: Did this new proposed macro name come up during the discussion?
Jonathan: It has been discussed with feature test macro group, but not moved in LEWG.

Objections in the room.
In favour: 70
Opposed: 1
Abstain: 8

Motion passes.

20. Apply the changes in P1831R1 (Deprecating volatile: library) to the C++ working paper. This resolves CZ004, CA210, US211.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

21. Apply the changes in P1973R1 (Rename "_default_init" Functions, Rev1) to the C++ working paper. This resolves DE002.

No discussion.
Objections in the room.
In favour: 44
Opposed: 3
Abstain: 28

Motion passes.


No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

23. Apply the changes in P2106R0 (Alternative wording for GB315 and GB316) to the C++ working paper. This resolves GB315 and GB316.
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

24. Apply the changes in P1739R4 (Avoid template bloat for safe_ranges in combination with "subrange-y" view adaptors) to the C++ working paper. This resolves DE288, US272

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

25. Apply the changes in P2116R0 (Remove tuple-like protocol support from fixed-extent span) to the C++ working paper. This resolves LWG issue 3212

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

26. Apply the changes in P1970R2 (Consistency for size() functions: Add ranges::ssize) to the C++ working paper. This resolves DE269.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Direction Group (Wong)

Michael Wong presents.
Thank you to Howard Hinnant for chairing the group before me.
We have published a new paper P2000R0, which is a continuation of P0939. We added a new section to help authors write papers. We would like to see up-to-date rationales in the proposals.

WG21 polls
1. Apply the changes in D1732R3, "Do not promise support for function syntax of operators" to SD8.
2. Apply the changes in D1919R3c, "Expanding the Rights in SD8" to SD8.

Herb Sutter : First two polls have been stricken because they were not well formed and referred to D papers. We will bring those two back in Varna.

3. Appoint a review committee composed of Marshall Clow, Davis Herring, Mike Miller, and Ville Voutilainen to approve the correctness of the C++ work-
ing paper as modified by the polls approved at this meeting, and to direct the Convener to transmit the approved updated working paper for DIS ballot.

No discussion.
Objections in the room.
In favour : 79
Opposed : 0
Abstain : 1

Motion passes.

Herb Sutter presents ISO process and what comes next.

Bjarne Stroustrup presents.
This is a historical event: 30 years of C++ standardization, 40 years of C++, C++20 is the 6th standard, the 3rd major standard, by “major” I mean “changes the way people think”

C++20 has essentially all from D&E and more, in particular:
- Concepts (three pages of apologies for not having them)
- Modules (just a dream then)
- Coroutines (I was sore having lost them to implementation problems on SPARC; they were our bread and butter for the first 10 years)

Also, we improved library with ranges, dates, and span.

We must be careful and responsible, we serve a huge community:
- Serve the community at large, rather than just experts – “keep simple things simple”
- Be careful, the world changes, what seems essential or fashionable now may not be good in the longer term
- Be pragmatic, not doctrinaire

No, that’s not easy. We must balance many concerns. I suggest we:
- Pursue the goal of a completely resource-safe and type-safe C++
- Support a wide variety of hardware well
- Maintain C++’s record of stability (compatibility) – “stability is a feature”

I wonder who’ll be here in 30 years? That’ll be 2050.
Thanks for listening. See you in Varna!

4. Approve P1000R4 "C++ IS Schedule" as the official schedule for C++23.

Herb Sutter: This is the same as the schedule we just did for C++20, plus three years added and locations changed. Last time we moved feature freeze up a meeting for CWG issues that have LWG impact. That worked out very well. We don't see any need to adjust it further.
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

5. Approve P0592R4 "To boldly suggest an overall plan for C++23" as an official plan for C++23.

Herb Sutter: This paper sets some priorities for 6-7 features. We should try and make progress on those if we can. The second priority is to address technical debt, and the third is to work on other new features.
This is not to say those main features are the only one we will be working on. It only says that we do not block these 6-7 things.
Does this mean we can't do anything else as long as we have issues? No. This is only to give subgroup chairs the indication to manage technical debt.
Can this plan be modified? Yes. We can bring such a paper to plenary and amend the plan.

David Hollman: there are significant papers to request modifications to this paper.
We didn't realise the paper will be moved in plenary
Peter Bindels: This is a P paper, how do we adjust it?
Herb: We make policy papers standing documents.
Peter Bindels: So we will treat this as a standing document?
Herb: Yes.

Objections in the room.
In favour: 48
Opposed: 8
Abstain: 18

Motion passes.

8. Closing activities

Mike Miller: Core will have an informal session this afternoon. See Jens for directions on where CWG will meet.

8.1 Issues delayed until today

No discussion.

8.2. PL22.16 motions, if any

No discussion.
9. Plans for the future (PL22.16)

9.1 Next and following meetings

2020-06-01/05: Varna, Bulgaria (N4825)

Vasil Vassilev presents.
We will have an evening grill the committee session. Please register if you are interested to be there.
If you are coming to Varna, please register as it will help with our numbers.

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach : can we get the registration link in the meeting invite paper or on the reflector ?
Vassil Vassilev : Yes

2020-11-9/14: New York, NY USA (N4848)

2021-02-22 to 27: Kona, HI, USA; Standard C++ Foundation, Plum Hall, Jens Maurer

2021-07-12 to 17: Montreal, Canada; Morgan Stanley

2021-autumn: (tbd)

2022-02-07 to 12: Portland, OR, USA; Intel

9.2. Mailings

- 2020-03-02: Post-Prague
- 2020-05-04: Pre-Varna

Hal Finkel presents.
Please find me if you haven't signed the attendance sheet.
Authors, please add your papers that are on the straw polls page to the paper system.

10. Adjournment
Walter Brown presents.
Thank you the host and the sponsors.
Thank you to everyone who participated and those who helped us participate.

PL22.16 motion to adjourn.
Approved by unanimous consent.

John Spicer adjourns the meeting at 11:52 am UTC+1.
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<td>Tanium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loïc Joly</td>
<td>Sonar Source</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Dionne</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Łukasz Bondyra</td>
<td>Motorola Solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maged Michael</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Mutz</td>
<td>KDAB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcin Grzebieluch</td>
<td>Sii Poland</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco Foco</td>
<td>NVidia Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina Efimova</td>
<td>Bloomberg</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markus Mayer</td>
<td>Brainlab AG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Clow</td>
<td>The C Plus Plus Alliance Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>National body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Hořeňovský</td>
<td>Lochsley.CZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinho Fernandes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mateusz Pusz</td>
<td>EPAM Systems Inc</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathias Stearn</td>
<td>MongoDB Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Calabrese</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Zauber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Butler</td>
<td>Laurel Lye</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Hava</td>
<td>RISC Software</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Spencer</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Spertus</td>
<td>Amazon Corporate LLC</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Voss</td>
<td>Intel Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Wong</td>
<td>Codeplay</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michał Dominiak</td>
<td>NVidia Corporation</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihail Mihaylov</td>
<td>VMware Inc</td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikael Kilpeläinen</td>
<td>CryptoTec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milind Girkar</td>
<td>Intel Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris Hafner</td>
<td>Codeplay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mykola Garkusha</td>
<td>JP Morgan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Burgers</td>
<td>Bloomberg</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Myers</td>
<td>Maystreet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Sidwell</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathaniel Goodspeed</td>
<td>Linden Research, Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Horlock</td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemanja Boric</td>
<td>Amazon Corporate LLC</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevin Liber</td>
<td>Argonne National Laboratory</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas DeMarco</td>
<td>Adobe Systems Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick De Breuck</td>
<td>Creative Assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolai Josuttis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina Ranns</td>
<td>Edison Design Group</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norbert Wenzel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>National body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odin Holmes</td>
<td>Auto-Intern GmbH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Kowalke</td>
<td>Secunet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Giroux</td>
<td>NVidia Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Halpern</td>
<td>Halpern-Wight Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pal Balog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paolo Carlini</td>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascal Menuet</td>
<td></td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul McKenney</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Bindels</td>
<td>TomTom</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Sommerlad</td>
<td>HSR</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petr Petyovsky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Nash</td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Craig</td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Corden</td>
<td>Programming Research Ltd</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Smith</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Douglas</td>
<td>Aquatic Group LLC</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Leahy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Simpson</td>
<td>Qualcomm Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Orr</td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland Schulz</td>
<td>Intel Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronan Keryell</td>
<td>Xilinx</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruslan Arutyunyan</td>
<td>Intel Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan McDougall</td>
<td>Aurora Innovation</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasha Sitnikov</td>
<td>Veeam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Hart</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Schurr</td>
<td>Ripple Labs</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian Büttner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergei Vinogradov</td>
<td>Intel Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Stienen</td>
<td>KARL STORZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophia Poirier</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>National body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stefan Richter</td>
<td>HSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Even</td>
<td>MBRDNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Michell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Köppe</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Scogland</td>
<td>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Song</td>
<td>Jump Trading LLC</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timur Doumler</td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titus Winters</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobias Loew</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Honermann</td>
<td>Synopsys Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomáš Hering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomasz Kamiński</td>
<td>Sabre</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Van Eerd</td>
<td>Christie Digital</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassil Vassilev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Zverovich</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ville Voutilainen</td>
<td>Plum Hall Inc</td>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Reverdy</td>
<td>Paris Observatory</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vito Castellana</td>
<td>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vittorio Romeo</td>
<td>Bloomberg</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volker Dorr</td>
<td>Cascade GmbH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volker Kokula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter E Brown</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wesley Maness</td>
<td>Schonfeld Tools LLC</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Miller</td>
<td>Edison Design Group</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyatt Childers</td>
<td>Lock3 Software</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zach Laine</td>
<td>Cadence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhihao Yuan</td>
<td>SimpleRose Inc</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoe Carver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>