1 Introduction

This paper proposes resumable expressions, a pure language extension for coroutines with the following features:

- **Lightweight, efficient, “stackless” coroutines.** Memory use is exactly what is required. There is no need for reserved stack space.
- **Cheaply composable.** Composition within a resumable expression can be as cheap as a function call, and includes the opportunity for inlining.
- **No hidden memory allocations.** The memory representation of a resumable expression can be wherever you need it: on the stack, a global, or a member of a possibly heap-allocated object.
- **Only one new keyword.** Inspired by constexpr, a new resumable keyword that: 1) introduces a resumable expression; 2) marks a resumable function; and 3) when following the break keyword, defines a suspension point.

And perhaps most importantly:

- **Avoids viral flow control keywords.** Keywords like await and yield are not required. Coroutines are structured like normal code, and suspend down, which means that as a consequence the language extension...
- **Enables code reuse** between stackless and stackful coroutines, and normal threads.
- **Provides a building block** for implementing resumable flow control patterns, including (but not limited to) await and yield.

A resumable function looks like this:

```cpp
resumable void print_1_to(int n)
{
    for (int i = 1;;)
    {
        std::cout << i << std::endl;
        if (++i > n) break;
        break resumable;
    }
}
```

and is used in a resumable expression like this:

```cpp
resumable auto r = print_1_to(5);
while (!r.ready())
{
    std::cout << "resuming ... ";
    r.resume();
}
```

to output:

```
resuming ... 1
resuming ... 2
resuming ... 3
resuming ... 4
resuming ... 5
```

Indicates that the function is resumable
Suspends execution of the resumable function at this point
Declares a resumable object named r to evaluate the resumable expression print_1_to(5)
The ready function returns false until the resumable expression completes normally
No code associated with the resumable expression executes until we call resume()
2 Background

In Urbana, the committee discussed the alternative coroutines models put before it, namely stackless coroutines and stackful coroutines.

One outcome of this discussion was an acceptance that each model addresses different use cases, even if there is some overlap. Supporting these distinct uses is desirable, and as such both models remain on the table.

Another outcome was a determination that the two models were different enough that it was not worth trying to reconcile their syntax. Whereas stackful coroutines lend themselves to a pure library implementation, both of the stackless proposals on offer introduced new control flow keywords: await and yield.

Even so, a vocal minority maintained that the two models are not so fundamentally different as to require a wholly different syntax. As we shall see below, introducing a new syntax, purely for stackless coroutines, comes with significant costs in terms of maintainability and usability.

3 Introducing resumable expressions

In this paper we will introduce a new stackless coroutine proposal that addresses these limitations: resumable expressions. Modelled on constexpr, resumable expressions allow us to develop lightweight resumable functions without peppering our code with special-purpose keywords.

For example, when composing asynchronous operations it is no longer necessary to mark each asynchronous call with the keyword await.

\[\text{std::future<void> tcp_reader(int total)}\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{char buf[64 * 1024];} \\
\text{auto conn =} \\
\text{\quad \text{await Tcp::Connect("127.0.0.1", 1337);}} \\
\text{do} \\
\text{\quad \{} \\
\text{\quad \quad \text{auto bytesRead =} \\
\text{\quad \quad \quad \text{await conn.read(buf, sizeof(buf));}} \\
\text{\quad \quad \text{total -= bytesRead;}} \\
\text{\quad \}} \\
\text{\quad \text{while (total > 0);}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{resumable void tcp_reader(int total)}\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{char buf[64 * 1024];} \\
\text{auto conn =} \\
\text{\quad \text{Tcp::Connect("127.0.0.1", 1337);}} \\
\text{do} \\
\text{\quad \{} \\
\text{\quad \quad \text{auto bytesRead =} \\
\text{\quad \quad \quad \text{conn.read(buf, sizeof(buf));}} \\
\text{\quad \quad \text{total -= bytesRead;}} \\
\text{\quad \}} \\
\text{\quad \text{while (total > 0);}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

In the resumable expressions version of this example, the asynchronous operations Tcp::Connect() and conn.read() are resumable functions. This means that we can call them directly, and efficiently, just as though they were normal functions. There is no need to use a future object to await their completion. Likewise, a resumable caller may incorporate our tcp_reader() function into a larger asynchronous composition with the same cost as a normal function call.

Similarly, when writing generators, we no longer need to use the yield keyword to generate each value. The equivalent semantics can be obtained using normal functions or function objects.
Example using N4286 resumable functions

generator<int> fib(int n)
{
    int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (n-- > 0)
    {
        yield a;
        auto next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
    }
}

Equivalent using resumable expressions

generator<int> fib(int n)
{
    return {
        [=](auto yield) mutable
        {
            int a = 0;
            int b = 1;
            while (n-- > 0)
            {
                yield(a);
                auto next = a + b;
                a = b;
                b = next;
            }
        }
    };
}

However, when using resumable expressions we are not required to use a type-erased container to represent the resumable function object.

Example using N4286 resumable functions

generator<int> fib(int n)
{
    int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (n-- > 0)
    {
        *out++ = a;
        auto next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
    }
}

Equivalent using resumable expressions

template<class OutputIterator>
void fib(int n, OutputIterator out)
{
    int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (n-- > 0)
    {
        *out++ = a;
        auto next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
    }
}

Notice anything? That’s right: there is nothing specifically resumable about the version on the right. It is a plain of’ function template, and it works equally well when called as either a resumable function or as a regular function. However, there is no magic here: we are simply delegating suspension of the resumable function down to the output iterator’s assignment operator.

But isn’t this the downward suspension we already get with stackful coroutines? The difference is that, being stackless, resumable expressions are truly lightweight. Memory usage is exactly that required to represent the resumable function’s maximum stack depth, and no more.

With nothing more than compiler support, resumable expressions provide a set of primitives on which we can build rich library abstractions for lightweight and efficient coroutines. And, perhaps more importantly, resumable expressions let us share library abstractions between stackless coroutines, stackful coroutines, and normal thread-based code.

4 Suspension models for coroutines and resumable functions

The key characteristic of a coroutine or resumable function is that it is able to suspend its own execution. Control can pass out of a function body in such a way that it can be later resumed.
at the same point. N4232 Stackful Coroutines and Stackless Resumable Functions describes two models of function suspension: down and up-and-out.

The down model is used by stackful coroutines, such as the Boost.Coroutine library and N3985 A proposal to add coroutines to the C++ standard library. To suspend, a resumable function simply performs an ordinary function call to what looks like an ordinary library function. The mechanics of suspension are delegated to this function.

```cpp
void down_foo()
{
  // ... do some work ...
  suspend(); // suspend execution without returning from down_foo()
  // ... resume here and do more work ...
}
```

The up-and-out model is used by stackless coroutines, such as N4286 Resumable Functions, and N4244 Resumable Lambdas. In this model a resumable function suspends and returns control to the caller. This is achieved with the help of new keywords, such as await and yield, which act like a non-terminating return.

```cpp
generator<int> up_and_out_foo()
{
  // ... do some work ...
  yield 42; // suspend execution and return 42
  // ... resume here and do more work ...
}
```

4.1 Up-and-out goes viral

These two suspension models have significantly different implications when we try to compose them in a call stack.

In the down model, stack composition is trivial. There is no requirement that the `suspend()` library function be called directly. We are able to interpose other functions into the call stack between our resumable function and the suspension point.

```cpp
void down_bar()
{
  // ... do some work ...
  down_foo(); // suspension occurs inside down_foo()
  // ... do more work here after returning from down_foo() ...
}
```

With up-and-out, however, all functions in the stack must be explicitly marked as resumable. A caller suspends its own execution before invoking a callee. Only after the callee returns, and is in its terminal state, will the caller continue. This control flow is automatically managed by the new keywords, await and yield.

```cpp
generator<int> up_and_out_bar()
{
  // ... do some work ...
  int a = await up_and_out_foo(); // suspend until up_and_out_foo() completes
  // ... resume here and do more work ...
}
```

As a consequence, up-and-out resumable functions have a viral impact on codebases. When we discover that, deep in a call stack, we have a newfound need to suspend execution, we must change all calls in that stack to be resumable.

Conversely, whenever we make a call to a resumable function we must remember to annotate the call with an await keyword. Failure to do so can result in hard to diagnose bugs due to the inadvertent addition of concurrency. For example:
std::future<void> tcp_writer(int total)
{
    auto conn = await Tcp::Connect("127.0.0.1", 1337);
    for (;;)
    {
        std::vector<char> data = generator_data();
        conn.write(std::move(data));
        update_connection_statistics();
    }
}

This code is an attempt to implement a business requirement that states that, after every write(), we update some data via a call to update_connection_statistics(). The requirement also stipulates that update_connection_statistics() not be called until the side effects of the preceding write operation have been established.

Most of the time this implementation will work successfully, as the write operation completes immediately. Occasionally, however, TCP flow control will delay the write, and consequently the tcp_writer function will start a call to update_connection_statistics() before the write completes.

Resumable functions using the down model do not suffer from this issue. Under the down model, from the outside our connection’s write() looks and behaves like a normal function:

class Tcp
{
public:
    // ...
    void write(std::vector<char> data);
    // ...
};

That is, it does not return control to its caller until it has established its side effects. Consequently, under the down model, we can implement our requirement without the accidental introduction of concurrency:

void tcp_writer(int total)
{
    auto conn = Tcp::Connect("127.0.0.1", 1337);
    for (;;)
    {
        std::vector<char> data = generator_data();
        conn.write(std::move(data));
        update_connection_statistics();
    }
}

4.2 Islands of abstraction

Even though N4286’s up-and-out resumable functions require new keywords, the overall control flow is still the same imperative approach found elsewhere in the language. Thus, as resumable functions pervade a codebase, developers will naturally wish to employ reusable abstractions for coordinating them. Unfortunately, we come unstuck as soon as we try to use the standard algorithms.

std::future<void> tcp_sender(std::vector<std::string> msgs)
{
    auto conn = await Tcp::Connect("127.0.0.1", 1337);
    std::for_each(msgs.begin(), msgs.end(),
        [&conn](const std::string& msg)
        {
            await conn.write(msg.data(), msg.size());
        });
}
We are forced to develop alternative algorithms that know about \texttt{await}.

```cpp
template <class I, class F>
std::future<void> resumable_for_each(I begin, I end, F f)
{
    for (I iter = begin; iter != end; ++iter)
        await f(*iter);
}
```

```cpp
std::future<void> tcp_sender(std::vector<std::string> msgs)
{
    auto conn = await Tcp::Connect("127.0.0.1", 1337);
    resumable_for_each(msgs.begin(), msgs.end(),
        [&conn](const std::string& msg)
        {
            await conn.write(msg.data(), msg.size());
        });
}
```

Over time we may end up with a complete set of \texttt{await}-enabled algorithms that mirror the existing standard algorithms. These algorithms will be independent and not interoperable with the existing algorithms. They are islands of abstraction.

### 4.3 A way forward

The problems presented above are purely associated with the \texttt{up-and-out} model of resumable functions. Stackful coroutines, which suspend \texttt{down}, do not suffer from these issues.

N4232 asserts that \texttt{up-and-out} is in the very nature of stackless coroutines, but is that necessarily so? Might we be able to have stackless coroutines that, syntactically, use the \texttt{down} model of suspension?

## 5 Evaluation modes in C++

C++14 currently has two modes of evaluation:

1. Evaluation during program execution, on the abstract machine.
2. Evaluation during translation, but following the rules of the abstract machine.

The latter mode is, of course, that used by \textit{constant expressions}.

More concretely, when we write:

```cpp
auto x = expression ;
```

the compiler has the opportunity to evaluate \texttt{expression} using either mode. If it is able, then as an optimisation it will evaluate it during translation. Otherwise, the value assigned to \texttt{x} will be determined during program execution.

However, when we write:

```cpp
constexpr auto x = expression ;
```

the compiler has no such freedom. By specifying \texttt{constexpr} we have constrained the compiler to the mode where evaluation occurs during translation. If it is unable to do so, the program is ill-formed and the compiler issues a diagnostic. Put another way, the \texttt{constexpr} keyword in the above statement “activates” the evaluation mode for constant expression.

## 6 The resumable expressions approach

### 6.1 Underlying design principle

As of today, a C++ compiler can take the statement:
constexpr auto x = expression;
and, during translation, transform expression into a constant that is named x. With C++14 we also have relaxed constexpr, and expression may include calls to functions that include loops, branches, and so on.

We can think of constexpr as a special case of a more general form. Given a suitable identifying keyword, it is also feasible for a compiler to read:

```
keyword type x = expression;
```
and subsequently transform expression into some other form during translation.

## 6.2 Keyword `resumable` and the representation of a resumable object

Resumable expressions are identified by a new keyword, `resumable`:

```
resumable auto r = expression;
```

This declares a new variable r, where r is a resumable object. The type is auto as the compiler generates a corresponding class definition for r, of an unspecified type R, which looks like this:

```
class R
{
public:
  typedef decltype(expression) result_type;
  bool ready() const noexcept;
  void resume() noexcept(noexcept(expression));
  result_type result();
  R(const R&) = delete;
  R& operator=(const R&) = delete;
} r(unspecified);
```

The key features of this class are described below.

```
typedef decltype(expression) result_type;
```

Identifies the type of the expression. Expressions of type `void` are allowed.

```
bool ready() const noexcept;
```

Returns true only when evaluation of the expression has completed and the result of the evaluation is available.

```
void resume() noexcept(noexcept(expression));
```

Requires that `ready() == false`. Resumes evaluation of the expression so that it executes until it reaches the next suspension point. Throws only if the expression throws, i.e. any exception thrown by the expression is allowed to propagate to the caller.

```
result_type result();
```

Requires that `ready() == true`. Returns the result of the evaluation. May be called at most once.

```
R(const R&) = delete;
R& operator=(const R&) = delete;
```

The “stack” of the resumable function is stored as a data member of the class R. A resumable function may take the address of its local variables and store them across suspension points. Copying and moving is inhibited to prevent this local variable aliasing from causing issues.

```
r(unspecified);
```

Any variables used in the expression are captured by reference.
6.3 Resumable objects as data members

Resumable objects are non-copyable and non-moveable, but we still want to be able to use them as data members and allow them to reside within heap-allocated objects. To enable this, the `resumable` keyword can be applied to non-static data members, provided the data member has an initialisation expression.

For example, the following template allows a zero-argument resumable function to be captured and allocated on the heap:

```cpp
template <class F>
struct resumable_wrapper
{
    explicit resumable_wrapper(F f) : f_(f) {}
    F f_;` `    
    resumable auto r_ = f_();
};

template <class F>
resumable_wrapper<F>* alloc_resumable_wrapper(F f) {
    return new resumable_wrapper<F>(std::move(f));
}
```

6.4 Keyword `resumable` applied to functions

The `resumable` keyword may be specified on function definitions:

```cpp
resumable void foo() {
    ...
}
```

The `resumable` keyword may also be specified on a function declaration. If a function is declared or defined `resumable` then all declarations and definitions must specify the `resumable` keyword.

Like constexpr or inline functions, functions marked as `resumable` may be defined in more than one translation unit.

The `resumable` keyword may not be used on virtual functions.

6.5 The `break resumable` statement

A statement of the form

```cpp
break resumable;
```

marks a suspension point in a resumable function. However, a resumable function is not required to contain a `break resumable` statement. Instead, it may call another function that contains the statement. For example:

```cpp
resumable void foo() {
    break resumable;
}
```

```cpp
resumable void bar() {
    foo();
}
```

A `break resumable` statement may occur only when evaluating a resumable expression, otherwise the program is ill-formed. This means that a function containing this statement cannot be called in non-resumable contexts.
6.5.1 Resumable and non-resumable functions

All functions, including template specializations and template instantiations, can be classified as either resumable or non-resumable.

Any function declared with the `resumable` keyword is resumable.

For inline functions and functions defined within a class definition, the `resumable` keyword may be omitted. The function is resumable if it contains a `break resumable` statement or a call to another resumable function.

For lambdas, the `resumable` keyword is not used. A lambda’s function call operator is resumable if it contains a `break resumable` statement or a call to another resumable function.

For function templates and member functions of a class template, the `resumable` keyword may be omitted. When template instantiation occurs, a determination can be made as to whether a function is resumable or non-resumable. It is resumable if it contains a `break resumable` statement or a call to another resumable function. This permits templates to make calls to other potentially resumable functions, dependent on a template parameter.

For a function template specialization to be resumable, it must either be inline or be declared with the `resumable` keyword. Extern templates cannot be resumable.

For polymorphic lambdas, the function call operator is considered a function template and treated as described above.

All other functions are non-resumable.

6.6 Restrictions on resumable functions

When a resumable function is used in a resumable expression, the definition of the function must appear before the end of the translation unit.

You cannot take the address of a resumable function.

Resumable functions cannot be virtual.

Resumable functions cannot be recursive.

6.7 New evaluation mode

Let us introduce a new, third mode of evaluation:

3. Transformation to a resumable object during translation. Evaluation of the resumable object occurs during program execution.

This is the evaluation mode used for resumable expressions. When the compiler encounters a statement of the form:

```
resumable auto x = expression ;
```

it activates the resumable expression evaluation mode in order to transform the expression.

6.8 Evaluation mode rules

Whether a particular function can be called depends on the active evaluation mode. For example, non-constexpr functions cannot be called when in the evaluation mode used for constant expressions. The corresponding rules for resumable functions are shown in the table below.
### Active evaluation mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call to a resumable function</th>
<th>Call to a non-resumable function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program execution</td>
<td>OK.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The function definition is also evaluated in program execution mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant expression</td>
<td>OK if the function is marked constexpr, otherwise ill-formed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The function definition is evaluated in constant expression mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resumable expression</td>
<td>OK.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The function definition is evaluated in resumable expression mode.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.9 Nested resumable expressions

A resumable function is allowed to contain a resumable expression. For example:

```cpp
resumable void inner(int n)
{
    for (int i = 1;;)
    {
        std::cout << i << std::endl;
        if (++i > n) break;
        break resumable;
    }
}
resumable void outer(int n)
{
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; ++i)
    {
        resumable auto r = inner(i);
        while (!r.ready())
        {
            std::cout << "inner resume ... ";
            r.resume();
        break resumable;
        }
    }
}
int main()
{
    resumable auto r = outer(5);
    while (!r.ready())
    {
        std::cout << "outer resume ... ";
        r.resume();
    }
}
```
However, a resumable object’s `resume()` member function is always non-resumable. This allows us to nest resumable expressions without creating any confusion as to which resumable object a `break resumable` statement applies to. Each resumable expression is a self-contained object and the compiler does not need to consider the nested resumable expression as part of the outer resumable expression’s “call stack”.

6.10 Restrictions on the standard library

Standard library functions and template specializations are resumable if, and only if, they are explicitly specified to be so using the `resumable` keyword. Standard library templates may be instantiated as either resumable or non-resumable functions unless the `resumable` keyword is specified.

7 Implementation strategy

Given a resumable function:

```cpp
resumable void print_1_to(int n)
{
  for (int i = 1;;)
  {
    std::cout << i << std::endl;
    if (++i > n) break;
    break resumable;
  }
}
```

that is used in a resumable expression:

```cpp
resumable auto r = print_1_to(5);
```

the compiler may generate the following code to represent the resumable object `r`:

```cpp
class R
{
  int __state = 0;
  int n, i;

public:
  typedef void result_type;

  explicit R(int __arg0) : n(__arg0) {}
  bool ready() const noexcept { return __state == ~0; }
  result_type result() {};

  void resume()
  {
    switch (__state)
    {
      case 0:
      {
        for (new (&i) int(1;;))
        {
          std::cout << i << std::endl;
          if (++i > n) break;
          { __state = 1; goto __bail; case 1; }
        }
        __state = ~0; default:
        __bail:;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

The current suspension point is stored as a data member.

Local variables are stored as data members.

When resuming, jumps immediately to the current suspension point.

Local variables are explicitly constructed and destroyed.

At suspension points, the state is saved and it jumps to the end.

Once a function reaches the terminal state it stays there.
8 Further work

8.1 Allowing copyability
By allowing copyability of resumable objects, we enable interesting use cases such as undo stacks. Although this behaviour comes with risk associated with aliasing of local variables, an explicit opt in may be feasible.

8.2 Overloading on resumable
It may be worth allowing multiple overloads of a function that differ only in the presence of the `resumable` keyword. The selected overload is determined based on whether the current evaluation mode is for a resumable expression or not.

9 Prototype
A prototype of the resumable expressions language extension may be found at:

https://github.com/chriskohlhoff/resumable-expressions

This prototype emulates the runtime behaviour of resumable expressions using the Boost.Coroutine library and suitably defined pre-processor macros. As a consequence there are minor differences in syntax:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed syntax</th>
<th>Emulated syntax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>break resumable;</td>
<td>break_resumable;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resumable auto r = expression;</td>
<td>resumable_expression(r, expression);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the prototype does not enforce the compile-time rule that `break resumable` statements appear only in resumable expressions.

10 Examples
Please note that resumable expressions are a pure language feature. The examples below are for illustrative purposes only, and the library abstractions presented are not part of the proposal.

10.1 A simple generator
The following example is a simple generator, implemented using the resumable expression primitives directly.

```cpp
resumable void fib(int n, int& out)
{
    int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (n-- > 0)
    {
        out = a;
        break resumable;
        auto next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
    }
}
```

It is callable like this:
int out;
resumable auto r = fib(10, out);
while (!r.ready())
{
  r.resume();
  if (!r.ready())
    std::cout << out << std::endl;
}

However, for typical usage patterns, like generators, we do not want to have to use these low level primitives. Fortunately, resumable expressions allow us to build library abstractions on top. For generators, there are two parts to this:

- An abstraction for the act of yielding a value.
- An abstraction for a generator object, to allow the generated values to be consumed.

The following two sections illustrate how we might implement this library abstraction.

10.2 Implementing “yield” in a library

To implement generators, we first want an abstraction for yielding a value. The following example shows one possible approach:

template <class T>
struct yielder
{
  T& out;
  void operator()(T t)
  {
    out = t;
    break resumable;
  }
};

This is then used to implement our \( \text{fib}() \) function:

\[
\text{resumable void fib(int n, yielder<int> yield)}
\]
\[
{\text{int a = 0;}}
\]
\[
{\text{int b = 1;}}
\]
\[
{\text{while (n-- > 0)}}
\]
\[
{\text{yield(a);}}
\]
\[
{\text{auto next = a + b;}}
\]
\[
{\text{a = b;}}
\]
\[
{\text{b = next;}}
\]

10.3 Type-erased generators and separate compilation

The definition of a resumable function must appear before the end of any translation unit in which it is used. What if we want our \( \text{fib}() \) function to be separately compiled? One solution is to introduce a type-erased generator wrapper. This wrapper will present a generator as an object from which we can conveniently consume the generated values.

// Declaration in .h file:
generator<int> fib(int n);
// Definition in .cpp file:
generator<int> fib(int n)  
{  
  return {  
    [=](auto yield) mutable  
    {  
      int a = 0;  
      int b = 1;  
      while (n-- > 0)  
      {  
        yield(a);  
        auto next = a + b;  
        a = b;  
        b = next;  
      }  
    }  
  };  
}

What follows is one possible implementation for this type-erased wrapper:

// An exception to be thrown when the generator  
// completes and has no further values  
class stop_generation : std::exception {};

// The base class for all generator implementations  
template <class T>  
struct generator_impl_base  
{  
  virtual ~generator_impl_base() {}  
  virtual T next() = 0;  
};

// A concrete generator implementation  
template <class T, class G>  
struct generator_impl : generator_impl_base<T>  
{  
  generator_impl(G g)  
  : generator_(g) {}  

  virtual T next()  
  {  
    r_.resume();  
    if (r_.ready())  
      throw stop_generation();  
    return value_;  
  }  
};

G generator_;  
T value_;  
resumable auto r_ = generator_(  
  [v = &value_](T next) {  
    *v = next;  
    break resumable;  
  });

A function object, similar to the previous yielder<T> example, that contains the suspension point

Lambdas are automatically considered resumable if they contain a call to a resumable function

Resume until the user-supplied generator type yields the next value

The resumable expression has been fully evaluated, meaning the generator has no more values

A resumable object may be a data member, provided it has a resumable expression as a member initializer

The user-supplied generator object is called with a lambda that abstracts away the yield operation, similar to the previous yielder<T> example
The type-erased generator wrapper

```cpp
class generator {
public:
    template <class G>
    generator(G g)
    : impl_(std::make_shared<generator_impl<T, G>>(std::move(g))) {}

    T next() { return impl_->next(); }

private:
    std::shared_ptr<generator_impl_base<T>> impl_;
};
```

To add support for allocators, we simply need to provide an additional constructor that accepts an allocator:

```cpp
// The type-erased generator wrapper
template <class T>
class generator {
public:
    // ...
    template <class G, class Allocator>
    generator(std::allocator_arg_t, const Allocator& a, G g)
    : impl_(std::allocate_shared<generator_impl<T, G>>(a, std::move(g))) {}
    // ...
};
```

Using the allocator is simply a matter of calling the appropriate `generator<T>` constructor. The allocator does not have to be part of the `fib()` function’s parameter list.

```
// Definition in .cpp file:
generator<int> fib(int n)
{
    return {
        std::allocator_arg, my_allocator_obj,
        [=](auto yield) mutable
        {
            // ...
        };
    }
}
```

Now that our generator wrapper is complete, we can use the separately compiled `fib()` as follows:

```cpp
int main()
{
    try
    {
        auto gen = fib(10);
        for (; ;)
            std::cout << gen.next() << std::endl;
    }
    catch (stop_generation&)
    {
    }
}
```

10.4 Generic generators

So far our generator examples have been resumable functions. However, we want to be able to write our algorithms so that they are reusable in both normal and resumable contexts. To
do this, we simply write our algorithm as a template, and make the usage of break resumable dependent on a template parameter.

```cpp
template <class OutputIterator>
void fib(int n, OutputIterator out)
{
  int a = 0;
  int b = 1;
  while (n-- > 0)
  {
    *out++ = a;
    auto next = a + b;
    a = b;
    b = next;
  }
}
```

This generic generator can be used in normal, non-resumable code:

```cpp
std::vector<int> v;
fib(10, std::back_inserter(v));
for (int i : v)
  std::cout << i << std::endl;
```

Or, with a suitable iterator:

```cpp
template <class T>
struct resumable_iterator
{
  T& out;
  suspending_output_iterator& operator*() { return *this; }
  suspending_output_iterator& operator=(T t)
  {
    out = t;
    break resumable;
    return *this;
  }
  // Other members as required by OutputIterator requirements
};
```

we can use our generic iterator as part of a resumable expression:

```cpp
int out;
resumable auto r = fib(10, resumable_iterator<int>{out});
for (;;)
{
  r.resume();
  if (r.ready()) break;
  std::cout << out << std::endl;
}
```

10.5 Implementing “await” in a library

Let us assume we want to use an asynchronous operation that signals its completion via a future. For example, we may have a function that “sleeps” for a specified length of time, declared as:

```cpp
future<void> sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds ms);
```

This particular incarnation of future<> allows us to attach a continuation using then():

```cpp
sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500)).then([](){ /* ... */ });
```

This approach quickly becomes unwieldy as our sequence of continuations evolves to more than a simple, linear chain. Therefore, we would like to be able to use this, and other future-based operations, within a resumable function. This would allow us apply the control flow constructs with which we are all familiar — if, while, for, function calls, and so on — to the problem of composing asynchronous operations.
To do this, we will define a function called `await()` that suspends the calling resumable function until a future is ready. The `await()` function would be used as in the following example:

```cpp
resumable void print_1_to(int n)
{
  for (int i = 1;;)
  {
    std::cout << i << std::endl;
    if (++i > n) break;
    await(sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500)));
  }
}
```

What follows is one possible implementation for the `await()` function. We will begin by implementing a base class, `waiter`, for the representation of a running resumable function.

```cpp
class waiter :
  public std::enable_shared_from_this<waiter>
{
  public:
    virtual ~waiter() {}
    void run()
    {
      struct state_saver
      {
        waiter* prev = active_waiter_;
        state_saver() { active_waiter_ = prev; }
      } saver;
      active_waiter_ = this;
      std::lock_guard<std::mutex> lock(mutex_);
      nested_resumption_ = false;
      do_run();
    }
    resumable void suspend()
    {
      assert(active_waiter_ == this);
      if (!nested_resumption_)
      {
        active_waiter_ = nullptr;
        break_resumable;
      }
    }
    void resume()
    {
      if (active_waiter_ == this)
        nested_resumption_ = true;
      else
        run();
    }
}
```

This function runs the resumable function until it is suspended by waiting on a future.

A thread-local variable keeps track of the active resumable function.

A mutex ensures that the resumable function can run from only one thread at a time.

The derived class implements this virtual function to run the concrete resumable function.

This function suspends the resumable function until it is woken by a call to `resume()`.

It may be called only by the currently active resumable function.

As long as there has been no nested call to `resume()`, then the resumable function suspends itself.

This function resumes a resumable function when the future becomes ready.

If the current thread is already running the resumable function, indicate that a nested resumption has occurred.

Otherwise, call `run()` to resume running the resumable function from this thread.
static waiter* active()
{
    return active_waiter_;  
}

private:
    virtual void do_run() = 0;

    std::mutex mutex_
    bool nested_resumption_ = false;
    static __thread waiter* active_waiter_;  
};

Next we have a class template, derived from waiter, as the concrete representation of a resumable function.

template <class F>
class waiter_impl : public waiter
{
    public:
        explicit waiter_impl(F f) :
            f_(std::move(f)) {}  
    private:
        virtual void do_run()
        {
            while (active() == this && !r_.ready())
                r_.resume();
        }
    
    F f_;  
    resumable auto r_ = f_();  
};

Next we implement a spawn() function to create a new waiter for a given resumable function, start it, and return a future to allow us to wait for it to complete.

template <class F>
void launch_waiter(F f)
{
    std::make_shared<waiter_impl<F>>(std::move(f))->run();
}

A resumable object that evaluates the function object f_ as a resumable expression

It keeps the resumable expression executing until it waits on a future or until the expression is fully evaluated

This function is called from waiter::run

A resumable object that evaluates the function object f_ as a resumable expression
launch_waiter(
  [r = std::move(r), p = std::move(p)] mutable
  {
    try
    {
      r();
      p.set_value();
    }
    catch (...)
    {
      p.set_exception(std::current_exception());
    }
  });

return f;
}

The implementation of await() is straightforward.

template <class T>
resumable T await(future<T> f)
{
  waiter* this_waiter = waiter::active();
  assert(this_waiter != nullptr);

  future<T> result;
  f.then([w = this_waiter->shared_from_this(), &result](auto f)
  {
    result = std::move(f);
    w->resume();
  });
  this_waiter->suspend();
  return result.get();
}

10.6 Separate compilation of asynchronous operations

As noted above, the definition of a resumable function must appear before the end of any translation unit in which it is used. Should we wish to use separate compilation for our resumable function, we can trivially do so by using the spawn() function defined above.

// Declaration in .h file:
future<void> print_1_to(int n);

// Definition in .cpp file:
future<void> print_1_to(int n)
{
  return spawn([n]
  {
    for (int i = 1;;)
    {
      std::cout << i << std::endl;
      if (++i > n) break;
      await(sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500)));
    }
  });
}

We can then compose this operation using our await() function.

resumable void print_numbers()
{
  await(print_1_to(10));
  await(print_1_to(5));
}
10.7 Efficient composition of asynchronous operations

When separate compilation is not required, we can achieve more efficient composition by simply calling other resumable functions directly, just as you would any other function.

```cpp
resumable void print_1_to(int n)
{
    for (int i = 1;;)
    {
        std::cout << i << std::endl;
        if (++i > n) break;
        await(sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500)));
    }
}

resumable void print_numbers()
{
    print_1_to(10);
    print_1_to(5);
}
```

Using the “normal” function call idiom for composition brings several advantages:

- it limits the viral proliferation of await() throughout our source code;
- we do not have to worry about forgetting to call await(); and
- it is as cheap as an inlined function call.

Furthermore, since function templates may be used in either resumable or non-resumable contexts, we can easily reuse generic algorithms.

```cpp
resumable void print_numbers()
{
    std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 };
    std::for_each(v.begin(), v.end(), [] (int n) { print_1_to(n); });
}
```

10.8 Integrating asynchronous operations that use completion handlers

Asynchronous operations using Boost.Asio’s completion token mechanism\(^1\) may be seamlessly integrated by building on the same infrastructure as await().

```cpp
resumable void echo(tcp::socket socket)
{
    for (;;)
    {
        char data[1024];
        size_t n = socket.async_read_some(buffer(data), use_await);
        async_write(socket, buffer(data, n), use_await);
    }
}
```

To implement this we begin by defining the completion token type.

```cpp
constexpr struct use_await_t
{
    constexpr use_await_t() {}
} use_await;
```

Our completion token has a corresponding completion handler type:

\(^1\) See [N4045 Library Foundations for Asynchronous Operations, Revision 2](#)
template <class... Args>
struct await_handler;

which we associate with our completion token by specializing the handler_type trait. This
trait generates a completion handler type given a completion token and a call signature.

template <class R, class... Args>
struct handler_type<use_await_t, R(Args...)>
{
  typedef await_handler<Args...> type;
};

For brevity, we will show only the await_handler<> partial specialization that implements a
completion handler that has an error_code as its first argument.

```cpp
template <class... Args>
struct await_handler<std::error_code, Args...>
{
  await_handler(use_await_t) {}

  void operator()(const std::error_code& ec, Args... args)
  {
    if (ec)
    *
this->exception_ = std::make_exception_ptr(std::system_error(ec));
    else
    this->result_ -= reset(std::make_tuple(std::forward<Args>(args)...));

    this->waiter_ -= resume();
  }

  typedef std::tuple<std::decay_t<Args>::type...> tuple_type;
  std::shared_ptr<waiter> waiter_;
  boost::optional<tuple_type>* result_ = nullptr;
  std::exception_ptr* exception_ = nullptr;
};
```

Next, we require some helper functions to unpack the tuple that contains the result. This
result will be returned from the initiating function.

```cpp
template <class... T>
inline std::tuple<T...> get_await_result(std::tuple<T...>& t)
{
  return std::move(t);
}

template <class T>
inline T get_await_result(std::tuple<T>& t)
{
  return std::move(std::get<0>(t));
}

inline void get_await_result(std::tuple<> & t)
{
}
```

We finish by specializing the async_result trait, which is used to associate the completion
handler with the initiating function’s return type and value.

```cpp
template <class... Args>
class async_result<await_handler<Args...>>
{
  typedef typename await_handler<Args...>::tuple_type tuple_type;
  typedef decltype(get_await_result(std::declval<tuple_type&>()))) type;
```
explicit async_result<await_handler<Args...>& handler>
{
  assert(waiter::active() != nullptr);
  handler.waiter_ = waiter::active()->shared_from_this();
  handler.result_ = &result_;  
  handler.exception_ = &exception_; 
}

resumable type get()
{
  waiter::active()->suspend();

  if (exception_)  
    std::rethrow_exception(exception_);  
  return get_await_result(result_.get());
}

private:
  boost::optional<tuple_type> result_;  
  std::exception_ptr exception_; 
};

10.9 Generic asynchronous operations

Ideally, we want to be able to compose our asynchronous operations as generic algorithms that work equally well with resumable expressions, stackful coroutines, and normal threads. The solution is the same as we saw above for generators: we write our algorithm as a template, and make the usage of break resumable dependent on a template parameter.

We can achieve this by defining new synchronous completion token type requirements, which are a refinement of N4045’s completion token requirements. These new requirements behave as illustrated in the code below.

```cpp
template <class SyncCompletionToken>
void echo(tcp::socket& socket, SyncCompletionToken token)
{
  for (;;)
  {
    char data[1024];
    size_t n = socket.async_read_some(buffer(data), token);
    async_write(socket, buffer(data, n), token);
  }
}
```

The use_await completion token we implemented above is one implementation of the synchronous completion token requirements.

```cpp
echo(my_socket, use_await);
```

Boost.Asio’s stackful coroutine support provides another implementation.

```cpp
[](yield_context yield) {
  // ...
  echo(my_socket, yield);
}
```

Finally, N4045 section 7.5, Synchronous execution contexts, shows a possible synchronous completion token implementation that blocks the current thread.

```cpp
echo(my_socket, block);
```
11 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Jamie Allsop, Botond Ballo, Thorsten Ottosen, Geoffrey Romer, Nevin Liber, and Nate Wilson for their feedback on this proposal.