

Document number: P2819R1
 Date: 2023-07-13
 Project: Programming Language C++
 Audience: LEWG, LWG
 Reply-to: Michael Florian Hava¹ <mfh.cpp@gmail.com>
 Christoph Hofer² <chofer.cpp@gmail.com>

Add tuple protocol to complex

Abstract

This paper proposes amending `complex` with the tuple protocol, enabling structured binding and easy referential access.

Tony Table

Before	Proposed
<pre>complex<double> c{...}; auto & [r, i]{reinterpret_cast<double(&)[2]>(c)};</pre>	<pre>complex<double> c{...}; auto & [r, i]{c};</pre>
<pre>template<typename T> constexpr auto swap_parts(complex<T> c) -> complex<T> { if not consteval { auto & [r, i]{reinterpret_cast<double(&)[2]>(c)}; swap(r, i); } else { //reinterpret_cast is ill-formed in constexpr... const auto tmp{c.real()}; c.real(c.imag()); c.imag(tmp); } return c; }</pre>	<pre>template<typename T> constexpr auto swap_parts(complex<T> c) -> complex<T> { auto & [r, i]{c}; swap(r, i); return c; }</pre>
<pre>vector<complex<double>> v{ ... }; auto reals{v views::transform([](auto c) { return c.real(); }) ranges::to<vector>()}; auto imags{v views::transform([](auto c) { return c.imag(); }) ranges::to<vector>()};</pre>	<pre>vector<complex<double>> v{ ... }; auto reals{v views::elements<0> ranges::to<vector>()}; auto imags{v views::elements<1> ranges::to<vector>()};</pre>
<pre>complex<double> c{...}; //interaction with pattern matching proposal P1371R3 inspect(reinterpret_cast<double(&)[2]>(c)) { [0, 0] => { cout << "on origin"; } [0, i] => { cout << "on imaginary axis"; } [r, 0] => { cout << "on real axis"; } [r, i] => { cout << r << ", " << i; } }; //interaction with pattern matching proposal P2392R2 inspect(reinterpret_cast<double(&)[2]>(c)) { is [0, 0] => cout << "on origin"; is [0, _] => cout << "on imaginary axis"; is [_ , 0] => cout << "on real axis"; [r, i] is _ => cout << r << ", " << i; }</pre>	<pre>complex<double> c{...}; //interaction with pattern matching proposal P1371R3 inspect(c) { [0, 0] => { cout << "on origin"; } [0, i] => { cout << "on imaginary axis"; } [r, 0] => { cout << "on real axis"; } [r, i] => { cout << r << ", " << i; } }; //interaction with pattern matching proposal P2392R2 inspect(c) { is [0, 0] => cout << "on origin"; is [0, _] => cout << "on imaginary axis"; is [_ , 0] => cout << "on real axis"; [r, i] is _ => cout << r << ", " << i; }</pre>

¹ RISC Software GmbH, Softwarepark 32a, 4232 Hagenberg, Austria, michael.hava@risc-software.at

² RISC Software GmbH, Softwarepark 32a, 4232 Hagenberg, Austria, christoph.hofer@risc-software.at

Revisions

R0: Initial version

R1: Changes after LEWG review on 2023-06-12:

- Made get overloads hidden friends.
- Extending *tuple-like* concept to support tuple-based range algorithms.
- Amended proposed wording with entry to Annex C.

Motivation

Mathematically the set of complex numbers \mathbb{C} is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 as a vector space with the isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\Phi(a+bi) = (a, b)$. Therefore, complex numbers can be identified with tuples and should possess the same characteristics, which is covered by the tuple protocol.

Complex numbers can equivalently be represented in cartesian coordinates (a, b) as well as in polar coordinates (r, θ) using radius r and angle θ . However, alternative representations of complex numbers such as polar coordinates (r, θ) are prohibited by the requirement of matching C's `_Complex floating-point` feature.

As the respective getters do not expose referential access (changing them to do so would result in an ABI-break), the only way to get a reference to the real and imaginary parts of a complex is by performing a `reinterpret_cast` (mandated to be valid, see [\[complex.numbers.general\]](#)), which is not valid in a `constexpr` context. Supporting the tuple protocol enables structured binding and referential access to the components of a complex number in a `constexpr` compatible way.

Lastly, the current pattern matching proposals ([\[P1371R3\]](#) and [\[P2392R2\]](#)) allow inspection of *tuple-like* objects, the proposed changes make `complex tuple-like`.

Design Space

The tuple protocol traits (`tuple_size<T>` and `tuple_element<I, T>`) are partially specialized for `complex<U>` and four hidden friend function overloads of `get` are provided. Additionally, the exposition-only *tuple-like* concept is amended, enabling support for range algorithms like `std::views::elements`.

Impact on the Standard

This proposal is a library extension, that changes the meaning of `tuple-like<complex<T>>`.

Implementation Experience

The proposed design has been implemented at <https://github.com/MFHava/STL/tree/P2819>.

Proposed Wording

Wording is relative to [\[N4950\]](#). Additions are presented like [this](#), removals like ~~this~~ and drafting notes like [this](#).

[version.syn]

```
#define __cpp_lib_complex_tuple_YYYYMMML //also in <complex>

#define __cpp_lib_tuple_like_202207LYYYYMMML //also in <utility>, <tuple>, <map>, <unordered_map>

[DRAFTING NOTE: Adjust the placeholder value as needed to denote the proposal's date of adoption.]
```

[tuple.like]

???.?? Concept *tuple-like*

[tuple.like]

```
template<class T>
concept tuple-like = see below; //exposition only
```

- 1 A type T models and satisfies the exposition-only concept *tuple-like* if `remove_cvref_t<T>` is a specialization of `array`, `complex`, `pair`, `tuple`, or `ranges::subrange`.

[complex.numbers]

???.?? Header `<complex>` synopsis

[complex.syn]

```
namespace std {
...
// [complex.transcendentals], transcendentals
...
template<class T> complex<T> tanh (const complex<T>&);

// [complex.tuple], tuple interface
template<class T> struct tuple_size;
template<size_t I, class T> struct tuple_element;
template<class T>
struct tuple_size<complex<T>>;
template<size_t I, class T>
struct tuple_element<I, complex<T>>;

// [complex.literals], complex literals
...
}
```

???.?? Class template `complex`

[complex]

```
namespace std {
template<class T> class complex {
public:
using value_type = T;

constexpr complex(const T& re = T(), const T& im = T());
constexpr complex(const complex&) = default;
template<class X> constexpr explicit(see below) complex(const complex<X>&);

constexpr T real() const;
constexpr void real(T);
constexpr T imag() const;
constexpr void imag(T);

constexpr complex& operator= (const T&);
constexpr complex& operator+=(const T&);
constexpr complex& operator-=(const T&);
constexpr complex& operator*=(const T&);
constexpr complex& operator/=(const T&);

constexpr complex& operator=(const complex&);
template<class X> constexpr complex& operator= (const complex<X>&);
template<class X> constexpr complex& operator+=(const complex<X>&);
template<class X> constexpr complex& operator-=(const complex<X>&);
template<class X> constexpr complex& operator*=(const complex<X>&);
template<class X> constexpr complex& operator/=(const complex<X>&);

template<size_t I>
friend constexpr T& get(complex&) noexcept;
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr T&& get(complex&&) noexcept;
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr const T& get(const complex&) noexcept;
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr const T&& get(const complex&&) noexcept;
};
}
...
}
```

???.?? Non-member operations

[complex.ops]

```
template<class T, class charT, class traits>
basic_ostream<charT, traits>& operator<<(basic_ostream<charT, traits>& o, const complex<T>& x);
```

14 *Effects:* Inserts the complex number `x` onto the stream `o` as if it were implemented as follows:

```
basic_ostringstream<charT, traits> s;
s.flags(o.flags());
s.imbue(o.getloc());
s.precision(o.precision());
s << '(' << x.real() << ',' << x.imag() << ')';
return o << s.str();
```

15 [Note 1: In a locale in which comma is used as a decimal point character, the use of comma as a field separator can be ambiguous. Inserting `showpoint` into the output stream forces all outputs to show an explicit decimal point character; as a result, all inserted sequences of complex numbers can be extracted unambiguously. — *end note*]

```
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr T& get(complex& x) noexcept;
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr const T& get(const complex& x) noexcept;
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr T&& get(complex&& x) noexcept;
template<size_t I>
friend constexpr const T&& get(const complex&& x) noexcept;
```

16 *Mandates:* `I < 2` is true.

17 *Returns:* A reference to the real part of `x` if `I == 0` is true, otherwise a reference to the imaginary part of `x`.

??? Value operations [complex.value.ops]

...

??? Transcendentals [complex.transcendentals]

...

```
template<class T> complex<T> tanh(const complex<T>& x);
```

27 *Returns:* The complex hyperbolic tangent of `x`.

??? Tuple interface [complex.tuple]

```
template<class T>
struct tuple_size<complex<T>> : integral_constant<size_t, 2> {};

template<size_t I, class T>
struct tuple_element<I, complex<T>> {
    using type = T;
};
```

1 *Mandates:* `I < 2` is true.

??? Additional overloads [cmplx.over]

[diff]

C.? C++ and ISO C++ 2023 [diff.cpp23]

1 Subclause [diff.cpp23] lists the differences between C++ and ISO C++ 2023 (ISO/IEC 14882:2023, *Programming Language — C++*), by the chapters of this document.

C.?? [complex]: Complex numbers library [diff.cpp23.complex]

1 **Affected subclause:** [complex.numbers]
Change: Enabling structured binding for complex numbers.
Rationale: Improve usability of complex numbers.
Effect on original feature: Valid C++ 2023 code may change meaning. For example:

```
template<typename T>
class is_tuple_like {
    template<typename U> static auto test(U *) -> decltype(tuple_size<U>::value, 0);
    template<typename> static void test(...);
public:
    static constexpr const bool value = !std::is_void<decltype(test<T>(nullptr))>::value;
};

bool value = is_tuple_like<complex<float>>::value; //value is true; previously was false
```

Acknowledgements

Thanks to [RISC Software GmbH](#) for supporting this work.